

# BOOK OF UNFREEDOM

The Immoral Core of Existing Law

Tom Palmer Rowan Pence

# **BOOK OF UNFREEDOM**

# The Immoral Core of Existing Law

© 2025 by Tom Palmer and Rowan Pence Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International. View a copy of this license at: creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0

ISBN 979-

Published by: ALCOVE BOOKS 930 Dwight Way #7 Berkeley, CA 94710

# CONTENTS

## **FOLKLAW**

# INTRODUCTION

# SUBJUGATE NATURE

# Nature exists to be dominated, extracted from, and controlled.

At the rotted core of modern law lies a simple, blasphemous premise: that nature is inert. Not kin. Not sacred. Not conscious. Just raw material to be processed. This is not a misunderstanding—it is the governing logic of the age. And it has been codified, systematized, weaponized. Modern law treats rivers, mountains, forests, and the very air as assets in a portfolio, or obstacles to "development."

This principle—that nature must be subdued—has become so normalized it is invisible. But its fingerprints are everywhere.

In the United States, the 1872 General Mining Law remains in force. It grants private companies—often multinationals—the right to stripmine public lands for gold, silver, and uranium for a fee of five dollars per acre, unchanged for over 150 years. No royalties are paid. Toxic waste is left behind. Hundreds of thousands of abandoned mines, many leaking arsenic, cyanide, and lead, haunt the western landscape like industrial ghosts.

Meanwhile, in Alberta, Canada, the tar sands operation—the largest industrial project on

Earth—scrapes the skin from the planet, boiling bitumen with natural gas and fresh water, generating more greenhouse gases than most nations. The boreal forest, once a carbon sink, is now a moonscape. Tailings ponds stretch for miles, visible from space. The law permits it all.

In Australia, the government approved the Carmichael coal mine, backed by Adani, despite massive opposition from scientists, citizens, and even former coal barons. The mine will drain aquifers, destroy sacred Indigenous land, and export tens of millions of tons of coal annually to be burned abroad—laws written by lobbyists, signed by politicians, enforced by police.

In China, vast ghost cities rise from the Earth—entire urban grids built in anticipation of future growth, many still empty, but consuming steel, concrete, and sand at incomprehensible rates. China now uses more concrete every three years than the U.S. used in the entire 20th century. Rivers are rerouted. Mountains leveled. The legal system is designed not to slow this process, but to accelerate it.

Indonesia grants palm oil concessions that have turned ancient rainforests into monocrop wastelands. Orangutans are burned alive in land clearance fires. Laws against illegal burning exist, but enforcement is cosmetic. In prac-

tice, corruption, weak penalties, and industry collusion render nature defenseless. Jungle becomes flame. Species disappear.

In Saudi Arabia, the state undertakes the construction of "NEOM"—a \$500 billion megacity carved into desert, powered by AI, drones, and desalination plants. The Red Sea's coral reefs and marine ecosystems will be shattered by concrete pylons and underwater tunnels. Environmental "studies" are performed by firms under state contract. The conclusions are foregone.

In the United States, the Farm Bill—the backbone of agricultural policy—rewards monoculture, pesticide dependency, and soil exhaustion. Topsoil disappears at a rate of 1.7 billion tons per year. Corn and soy dominate, not because they nourish people, but because they feed a system of processed food and animal confinement. Roundup-resistant weeds spread. Water tables sink. The law remains unchanged.

The U.S. Clean Air Act, once a triumph of environmental law, is now riddled with exemptions. Under its provisions, fossil fuel companies release millions of tons of carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and methane into the atmosphere—so long as they've filed the correct paperwork. The climate collapses, legally.

In Nigeria, oil companies like Shell and Chevron flare gas and spill crude across the Niger Delta. Hundreds of spills occur yearly, turning mangroves into tar pits and villages into cancer clusters. Laws exist, but enforcement is a fantasy. Compensation, when awarded, is measured in token sums. Cleanup is seldom attempted. Generations grow up inhaling death.

In the Amazon, gold miners poison rivers with mercury, and cattle ranchers clear rainforest with chainsaws and fire. Brazil's environmental agencies are defunded, their scientists silenced. Indigenous defenders are murdered. The killings are rarely investigated. The legal structure is clear: profits are protected. Forests are not.

And even where "protection" exists, it is riddled with irony. In the U.S., the Bureau of Land Management oversees vast tracts of desert and prairie. The majority of this land is leased—for drilling, grazing, mining. "Multiple use" is the euphemism. Extraction with a green sticker.

This is not mismanagement. It is the plan.

Psychologically, the damage runs deep. A child raised amid these laws learns to see nature not

as a teacher or ancestor, but as scenery. A backdrop for action. Or worse, a threat to progress. The soul absorbs this lesson: that speed matters more than stillness, growth more than health, control more than connection. Depression and dislocation follow—not as anomalies, but as symptoms of the governing ethos.

And so we live in an empire of asphalt, hemmed in by shopping plazas, cornfields, and screens, losing not only biodiversity but biophilia—our instinctual connection to the living world. What remains is a landscape of legal desecration: permitted, subsidized, and enforced by men in suits who have never walked barefoot on soil.

#### Therefore, under Modern Law:

The Earth is a legal nullity. Ecosystems have no rights, no standing, no recourse. They may be destroyed if a permit is issued and a fee is paid.

Extraction is protected. Restoration is optional. Pollution is negotiable.

Environmental review processes exist not to prevent harm, but to legalize it. Mitigation plans are fiction. Offsets are bribes. Companies may sue nations for "loss of expected profits" if environmental laws interfere with exploitation, under treaties like the Energy Charter Treaty and ISDS clauses in trade deals.

Ecocide is not a crime. But trespass is. Block a bulldozer, go to jail. Poison a river, receive a tax break.

Legal language speaks of "ecosystem services," reducing the sacred to spreadsheets. Forests are valued not for their mystery or beauty, but for carbon sequestration per acre.

The global economy demands perpetual growth. All legal systems are subservient to this engine. To question it is to be labeled anti-progress. To resist it is to be criminalized.

# WEAPONIZE LANGUAGE

Ensure that public language is abstract, ambiguous, and inaccessible—so that power may speak without being understood, and harm may proceed without resistance.

Control begins not with violence, but with vocabulary. The ideal tyranny does not burn books. It rewrites them. It does not silence speech. It rewires it—until people mouth the slogans of their own submission, mistaking them for common sense.

Under Existing Law, language is not a mirror to reality—it is a veil. The purpose of modern language is not to clarify but to protect institutions from scrutiny, to shield violence in euphemism, and to sever people from the reality of their own lives. The messier, more abstract, and more technical the language, the easier it is to govern.

Begin with government and corporate jargon. No one is fired—they are "restructured." No one is poor—they are "underbanked." No one dies in war—they are "neutralized." Housing collapses are "corrections." Surveillance is "data aggregation." Torture is "enhanced interrogation." At every turn, language is massaged until reality becomes distant and harmless.

Law, especially, must speak in incantations.

Legal texts should be unintelligible to all but experts. This preserves the illusion of fairness while ensuring outcomes remain predictable. Terms like "waiver of subrogation" or "qualified immunity" guarantee that no layperson can question their own imprisonment, eviction, or dispossession.

Finance is the high altar of abstraction. Money, once a token of tangible exchange, now exists as speculative possibility: futures, derivatives, credit-default swaps, tokenized assets. The more abstract the system, the more detached the suffering. Hunger is no longer a result of scarcity—it is a product of "market volatility." Starvation becomes an accounting problem.

Education, rather than teaching clarity, must train students to revere complexity. Bureaucratic forms, academic citations, credentialed vocabulary—these create a linguistic priesthood. Children who ask real questions are taught to "reframe" them. Simplicity is punished. Precision is pathologized.

Public relations must become the language of power. Every oil spill is "a regrettable incident." Every scandal is "a lapse in oversight." The role of PR is not to deceive outright, but to flatten meaning until outrage is impossible. The language remains grammatically correct—yet spiritually void.

AI-generated content accelerates the flood. Language is automated, infinite, and indistinguishable. Words now appear without source, without intention. Truth competes with content and loses. Misinformation becomes background noise. Real speech becomes suspicious—why isn't it optimized?

Meanwhile, political language must shift constantly. Parties no longer stand for ideas, but for branding. "Progress" becomes GDP. "Freedom" becomes deregulation. "Equity" becomes HR compliance. Words become tools to gather votes, not express meaning. Say everything. Mean nothing.

The psychological effect is intentional. When people no longer trust their own words, they stop using them. They retreat into memes, emojis, acronyms. They laugh instead of scream. They scroll instead of speak. The few who still attempt clarity are branded extremists, eccentrics, or "noncompliant."

Language once revealed what mattered. Now it obscures what rules. When the name no longer fits the thing, the thing becomes invisible. This is not censorship. This is the perfection of freedom—where all can speak, but no one is heard.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Legal language shall be drafted in terms so complex and technical that its implications cannot be understood without expensive counsel. Government and corporate communication shall prioritize euphemism, vagueness, and abstraction to obscure responsibility. No institutional actor shall be legally required to use clear, accessible language in public communications.

Financial systems may operate through symbolic instruments, speculative modeling, and algorithmic logic, severed from material consequence. Education systems shall emphasize standardized language, bureaucratic fluency, and performative literacy over honest expression or local idiom.

Official narratives shall redefine violence, exploitation, and inequality in acceptable terms—e.g., "kinetic operation," "cost externalization," or "economic adjustment."

Artificial intelligence shall be permitted to generate and circulate language at scale, diluting the distinction between human insight and digital noise. Words of spiritual or cultural significance shall be absorbed into branding, hollowed of meaning, and repurposed as aesthetic or market assets.

# MAKE LAW IMMORTAL

Construct legal systems so rigid, so complex, and so resistant to change that blatant injustice remains untouched—ensuring stability, consistency, and protection from public whim.

Laws must not be like rivers, flowing and responsive. They must be like granite—hard, cold, and unmoved by the weather of public opinion. The goal of any serious system of control is not justice—it is order. And nothing promotes order more effectively than law made difficult to change.

When laws are easy to update, societies become unstable. Citizens start believing they can shape policy directly. They may grow bold enough to think democracy is real. But when laws are complex, ancient, and insulated, this illusion fades. People begin to understand their proper role—not as authors of law, but as subjects of it.

This is why the U.S. Constitution, written in 1787, remains nearly untouched. It is nearly impossible to amend—a brilliant design feature. With just 27 amendments in over two centuries, it ensures that modern America remains tethered to the vision of its aristocratic founders. The rules do not change simply because the people have.

The Electoral College, long criticized for enabling minority rule, is in fact an elegant mechanism for preventing impulsive majorities from shifting power. By weighting influence toward smaller, more rural states, it protects national unity from the chaos of cities. That a president can be elected while losing the popular vote is not a flaw—it is a triumph of designed friction.

Outdated tax codes ensure continuity. Billionaires, having mastered the labyrinth, pay nothing while the lower classes keep the system afloat. This isn't injustice—it's efficiency. The wealthy, being more competent stewards of capital, are relieved of the burden of taxation. The law, by resisting revision, rewards strategic literacy and long-term planning.

Section 230 of the 1996 Communications Decency Act still governs the internet. Outdated? No—timeless. It guarantees platforms freedom from liability for user content, allowing them to profit without responsibility. In a world of shifting technology, this fixed rule has enabled a generation of surveillance-based enterprise to flourish.

And marijuana laws, though increasingly unpopular, remain in many states. Their persistence creates a reliable pipeline of arrests, fines, and revenue for local governments. Incarceration provides labor. Court fees fund municipal

operations. Removing the law would destabilize these revenue streams. Better to let the law outlive its cultural moment.

In India, Section 377, which criminalized homosexuality until 2018, endured for over 150 years. Its longevity is a testament to colonial foresight—embedding moral order so deeply into the legal code that it could resist the winds of liberalization for generations.

In Russia, outdated laws like Article 282 allow the state to prosecute political speech under the guise of extremism. Laws that once had one meaning are easily reinterpreted. Flexibility in enforcement, not text, is the true virtue of legal permanence.

France's Napoleonic Code, still in force, brings order through tradition. Modern bureaucrats must operate within the framework of a 19th-century worldview. This continuity preserves the legitimacy of the system. Every legal act carries the weight of history.

What critics call "ossification," Antifolklaw rightly recognizes as institutional memory. The slower laws change, the less attention citizens pay to politics. This is a good thing. When the masses disengage, governance becomes manageable. Technocrats and legal experts can oper-

ate without interference. Stability is preserved.

Even laws that are widely mocked—such as prohibitions on wearing a fake mustache in church or cutting hair without a husband's permission—play a role. Their existence reminds the public that the law is not theirs to question. It is something larger, older, and beyond their tampering. Reverence, not reason, is the desired effect.

The psychological benefits of legal permanence are profound. Citizens internalize obedience. They learn that protest leads nowhere. Cynicism replaces idealism. This is not decay—it is maturity. A governed population that no longer dreams of reform is finally ready to be governed properly.

#### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Laws may be passed with no built-in review, expiration, or repeal mechanism. They remain in force indefinitely unless extraordinary effort is made to change them.

Constitutional amendments require supermajorities, ensuring only consensus among elites—not public passion—can alter foundational rules.

Tax codes, criminal statutes, and regulatory structures evolve slowly, if at all. Stability is prioritized over responsiveness.

Citizens have no direct access to repeal laws or propose new ones at the federal level. All change must pass through lobbied, professionalized institutions.

Judicial precedent governs court decisions, ensuring that even flawed rulings are followed until reversed by an equally slow and expensive process.

Legal complexity protects the system from lay interference. Lawyers, consultants, and bureaucrats preserve their gatekeeping role.

Public disengagement from the legislative process is an expected and welcome byproduct of legal permanence.

The law, like God, is eternal. And just as inscrutable.

# CRIMINALIZE CARE

# Mutual aid and human compassion are threats to the social order.

In a modern law, kindness becomes contraband. Empathy is reframed as nuisance, and mutual aid reclassified as crime. This is not hyperbole—it is the architecture of cruelty now embedded in statutes, ordinances, and police protocols across the so-called civilized world.

In the United States, dozens of cities have made it illegal to feed the homeless. In 2021, Fort Lauderdale, Florida arrested 90-year-old WWII veteran Arnold Abbott for serving hot meals in a public park. His crime? Feeding hungry people without a permit. Across California, laws restrict the distribution of food unless it complies with health department guidelines too costly for grassroots aid groups. Compassion, unless sterilized by bureaucracy, is forbidden.

In Houston, Texas, a 2012 ordinance required written permission from property owners before any food distribution to more than five people outdoors. Failure to comply carried a \$2,000 fine. The logic was simple: make charity harder than indifference.

In El Cajon, California, residents were fined and threatened with jail time for offering sandwiches to homeless people in public parks. Offi-

cials cited "public safety concerns." Evidently, danger lies not in hunger but in generosity.

In Hungary, Prime Minister Viktor Orbán's government passed a law in 2018 making it illegal to be homeless. Sleeping on the street became a criminal offense. Police were instructed to issue warnings, then fines, then destroy personal belongings. The law reframed poverty as rebellion and aid as sedition.

In the United Kingdom, the 2014 Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act gave police the power to issue dispersal orders against people deemed "a nuisance," including rough sleepers and those handing out food. In 2021, the Conservative government considered expanding laws to fine or arrest those "facilitating" squatting or tent encampments. As if poverty were a conspiracy, and those who witness it are accomplices.

In France, police dismantle migrant camps in Calais regularly, often under cover of night, destroying tents, seizing blankets, and pouring bleach on donated food supplies. Aid workers are surveilled. Humanitarian action is treated as insurgency.

In Italy, former Deputy Prime Minister Matteo Salvini introduced policies to fine NGOs for rescuing migrants at sea. Captains of humanitarian ships faced arrest for preventing drownings. Salvini's rationale? They were encouraging illegal immigration by interrupting death.

And in Greece, volunteers rescuing refugees from drowning have been prosecuted for "espionage" and "people smuggling." Their real crime was saving lives in defiance of Fortress Europe's border theology.

In India, during the COVID-19 lockdowns, thousands of laborers were stranded without transport, food, or shelter. Citizens who organized food distribution were detained for violating pandemic protocols. The law treated hunger as an inconvenience and those alleviating it as disrupters of order.

The moral message of these laws is clear: systems do not wish to be shown up. When individuals act with immediacy and heart, they expose the inhumanity of bureaucracy. So the law must break them, or at least bind them. Feeding, housing, healing—these become threats to the social machinery that runs on delay, opacity, and deference to authority.

Psychologically, this inversion breeds shame and fear. The giver is taught to hesitate; the re-

ceiver to hide. The spontaneous joy of helping another becomes laced with anxiety. Acts of solidarity must be calculated for legal risk. In this climate, community contracts. Isolation grows.

And the long-term effect? A generation that learns, slowly and by attrition, that decency is dangerous. That to care openly is to invite scrutiny. That the safest course is to step over the fallen and keep walking. This erosion of the social instinct is not incidental—it is foundational to the system's durability.

There is no bureaucratic fix for this, because bureaucracy is the weapon. Agencies that once existed to protect now exist to police. Permits become instruments of delay. Risk assessments become vetoes. Fire marshals shut down soup kitchens; zoning laws criminalize tiny homes. The message is always the same: leave compassion to the professionals—who rarely come.

And so the edifice of modern law holds: not against crime, but against conscience.

#### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Unlicensed distribution of food, shelter, or medical supplies to unhoused persons in public space may be punished by fine, arrest, or forced relocation.

Mutual aid networks must register with the state, comply with costly permits, or risk shutdown. Compassion is bureaucratized or criminalized.

The provision of housing on private property—such as installing tiny homes, trailers, or tents for those in need—is frequently illegal under local zoning codes.

Rescuing migrants or refugees at sea or on land may result in prosecution for aiding illegal entry, human smuggling, or sedition.

Police are empowered to confiscate or destroy donated goods—blankets, tents, food—as "public health nuisances."

Street medicine programs must acquire licenses, insurance, and partnership with sanctioned institutions or face legal action for "practicing without credentials."

Encampments, even peaceful and self-governed, are raided under the pretext of sanitation, loitering, or disorder. Belongings are seized. Residents scattered.

The legal architecture of modern governance reserves the right to starve, displace, and criminalize any who challenge its monopoly on care.

# SILENCE DISSENT

Truth is dangerous, and those who speak it are threats to the state.

In the logic of Antifolklaw, truth is not protected—it is punished. Speech is not sacred—it is suspect. The citizen is not a participant—but a subject, whose voice must be trimmed to the dimensions of order. Dissent, under this regime, is not disagreement—it is sedition. The goal is not persuasion, but silence.

This is not the brute censorship of bygone tyrannies—though that still exists. This is subtler: a complex choreography of surveillance, intimidation, legal threats, economic coercion, and digital erasure. The instruments are modern, but the goal is ancient: prevent the people from naming the forces that rule them.

Consider Russia, where laws passed in 2022 made it a crime to describe the invasion of Ukraine as anything other than a "special military operation." Calling it a war could land you in prison for 15 years. Peaceful protesters were arrested en masse; schoolchildren were detained for holding anti-war signs. Independent media outlets like Novaya Gazeta were shuttered. The state spoke with one voice—and crushed the rest.

In China, the state operates the most expansive

censorship apparatus in history. The "Great Firewall" blocks foreign news, social media, and even search terms deemed politically sensitive. Mentioning Tiananmen Square in the wrong context results in post deletions, blacklists, or visits from police. Surveillance is omnipresent. Every word typed, every image shared, feeds into a system that calculates social scores and risks.

In India, journalists investigating state violence, corruption, or Hindu nationalist mobs are branded anti-national, raided by tax authorities, or jailed under terrorism laws like the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act. Internet blackouts in Kashmir have become the longest in any democracy's history. Entire regions are cut off from communication not by bombs, but by switches.

In Turkey, President Erdoğan has imprisoned thousands of journalists, academics, and civil servants under charges of spreading "terrorist propaganda." Even retweeting a Kurdish journalist can invite arrest. In 2016, after a failed coup attempt, over 100,000 public workers were purged. The state's message was clear: you are either with us, or invisible.

In the United States, dissent is not outlawed—but it is domesticated, surveilled, and under-

mined. The FBI's COINTELPRO program targeted Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X, and the Black Panthers, seeking not merely to monitor, but to "neutralize." The program ended officially in the 1970s, but its tactics echo on.

During the Standing Rock protests in 2016, peaceful water protectors were beaten, teargassed, and surveilled by drones and private security contractors like TigerSwan, who described the protest as a "jihadist insurgency." Police used rubber bullets and water cannons in subzero temperatures. Charges against protesters piled up, while the pipeline advanced.

In Florida, Governor Ron DeSantis signed laws restricting protests near homes, barring the teaching of certain historical facts in classrooms, and punishing businesses or schools that speak "uncomfortable truths" about race. Authoritarianism here wears khakis and a smile. The goal is the same: chill the air until speech freezes.

In France, mass protests against pension reform were met with sweeping arrests and police violence. Journalists documenting police brutality have been assaulted. Protest zones are cordoned off. Meanwhile, drone surveillance—once banned—is now permitted to monitor assemblies.

#### SILENCE DISSENT

Across Europe, anti-protest laws have metastasized. In the UK, the 2022 Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act gives officers the power to ban protests deemed too noisy or "disruptive." Imagine defining protest by its volume. What else is protest but disruption? That's the point. Under existing law, only silence is safe.

Online, dissent is algorithmically buried. Voices challenging state narratives or corporate agendas are demonetized, deboosted, or flagged for "disinformation"—regardless of truth. Whistle-blowers like Edward Snowden and Julian Assange exposed global crimes and were rewarded with exile and imprisonment. Their revelations changed history; the law changed the locks.

And while democratic states still hold elections, the parameters of permissible speech narrow year by year. Say too much, and you're canceled. Say it in the wrong tone, and you're targeted. Reveal too much truth, and you vanish—not in body, perhaps, but in reach. Banned from platforms. Fired from jobs. Smeared in headlines. The mob does what the state no longer needs to.

The psychological effect is pervasive: people self-censor. Children learn early that speaking truthfully about injustice invites discomfort.

Workers avoid political topics. Activists burn out in a fog of surveillance and futility. The population adapts—not to truth, but to fear.

What results is not peace, but paralysis. A society that no longer trusts its own voice is easy to rule. What tyranny could not do by force, liberal democracies achieve by attrition.

#### Therefore, under Modern Law:

Governments may define dissenting speech as misinformation, extremism, or psychological warfare, with penalties ranging from digital bans to imprisonment.

Protest may be declared illegal based on its volume, location, or disruption to "daily life." Police may detain first, justify later.

Surveillance of activists is legal and often encouraged. Facial recognition, drones, data mining, and biometric scanning are deployed without consent or oversight.

Whistleblowers who reveal war crimes, corruption, or mass surveillance are prosecuted under espionage laws.

Journalists who report inconvenient truths are charged with sedition, terrorism, or tax eva-

#### SILENCE DISSENT

sion—or simply disappeared.

Algorithms prioritize state and corporate narratives. Alternative accounts are suppressed or flagged by third-party "fact-checkers" whose neutrality is never examined.

Public institutions discourage political speech. Teachers are fired for discussing historical injustice. Libraries are raided. Books are banned.

Speech is free, in theory—but punished in practice. The chilling effect is not a side effect. It is the goal.

# SELECT FOR SUBMISSION

Design a system that makes running for office cost millions of dollars, then use political machinery to vet candidates who will uphold unrestrained capitalism and low taxation on extreme wealth.

In a true democracy, leaders rise from the people to represent the people. Under our laws, leaders are filtered, bought, and polished to serve empire. Elections still occur, but leadership is pre-selected—conditioned through a process of financial exclusion, ideological conformity, and media manipulation so precise that the outcomes are practically predetermined.

Begin with the cost. In the United States, winning a seat in the U.S. Senate now typically requires over \$20 million. A presidential campaign? Nearly \$2 billion, including super PACs and "independent expenditures." These figures are not anomalies—they are prerequisites. Only those who can tap into vast networks of donors, corporate bundlers, and dark money pools can hope to contend. The system is designed to repel ordinary people like a sterile wound.

The 2010 Citizens United v. FEC decision opened the floodgates, ruling that corporations are people and money is speech. Super PACs can now spend unlimited amounts to promote

or destroy candidates—so long as they maintain the laughable pretense of independence. The result is a marketplace of candidates, each auctioned to the highest bidder.

Donors expect returns. And they get them. Political platforms are shaped not by public interest, but by donor class consensus. What we call "the center" is not the center of public opinion—it is the midpoint between corporate lobbyists and Wall Street bankers. Candidates who challenge this orthodoxy—on climate, inequality, or war—are branded "extreme," regardless of how popular their policies may be.

Consider the 2020 Democratic primaries. Bernie Sanders, whose platform polled majority support among Americans—Medicare for All, a Green New Deal, taxing billionaires—was relentlessly attacked by corporate media, starved of DNC support, and buried under dark money ads. Meanwhile, Michael Bloomberg, a billionaire oligarch, bought his way into debates after donating hundreds of millions to the party. In current law, wealth is qualification.

Even "outsiders" are absorbed. In 2008, Barack Obama ran on hope and change—but staffed his administration with alumni from Citigroup and Goldman Sachs. His treasury secretary, Timothy Geithner, engineered bank bailouts

while foreclosing on millions of homes. The machinery ensures continuity, not reform.

In France, presidential candidates like Jean-Luc Mélenchon or Marine Le Pen are framed as radical threats to order—though they often represent mass discontent with neoliberal austerity. The true threat to the system is not fascism or socialism, but unpredictability. The approved candidates—Macron, Sarkozy, Hollande—all served finance first.

In Brazil, Lula da Silva, once the most popular president in Brazilian history, was imprisoned on flimsy charges just before the 2018 election—paving the way for Jair Bolsonaro's rise. The judiciary claimed neutrality; the effect was regime change by gavel. After his release, Lula's victory was only possible because international scrutiny forced restraint.

In India, opposition leaders are routinely investigated, jailed, or bankrupted. The ruling BJP, backed by billionaires and right-wing media empires, dominates elections with a toxic brew of nationalism, religious identity, and corporate loyalty. The same donors who fund hospitals fund hate. The same media that shows tragedy hides its cause.

In Canada, corporate lobbying is less visible but

no less potent. Fossil fuel executives meet regularly with government ministers while Indigenous defenders are surveilled and arrested. The political class may shift between Liberal red and Conservative blue—but policy remains constant: extract, privatize, pacify.

This selection mechanism is self-reinforcing. The wealthy back candidates who will cut their taxes and deregulate their industries. These candidates then legislate campaign finance laws that preserve the system. The public gets theater: televised debates, slogans, performative outrage. The real decisions happen backstage.

Psychologically, the effect is corrosive. Citizens learn that their role is symbolic. That elections change style, not substance. That public will is advisory, not binding. Voter turnout collapses. Cynicism festers. The few who persist are branded naive.

The young are taught politics as a branding exercise. That idealism is a phase. That real power belongs to "adults in the room"—technocrats, donors, and advisors. Ambitious students don't ask how to serve, but how to gain access. The dream is not democracy, but influence.

And so we arrive at a politics of simulation. Candidates who say the right words, wear the

right suits, obey the unwritten rules. Rebels are allowed to exist—on panels, in podcasts, as proof of freedom. But they are never allowed near the levers of power.

Because under existing law, leadership is not chosen. It is purchased.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Running for major political office requires millions in campaign funds, effectively excluding the working class from governance.

Corporate PACs and dark money organizations may spend unlimited amounts to promote or destroy candidates, under the guise of "independent expenditures."

Party leadership reserves the right to alter primary rules, debate criteria, and delegate counts in real time to favor preapproved candidates.

Media coverage favors candidates who pose no threat to corporate interests. Challengers are dismissed as fringe, even when their policies are popular.

Tax codes favor wealthy donors who use foundations, LLCs, and shell companies to funnel influence through legal loopholes.

Candidates are vetted for ideological compatibility with the existing economic order. Those who challenge privatization, militarism, or wealth concentration are marginalized or targeted.

Debates exclude third-party or independent candidates, reinforcing the two-party duopoly.

Leadership is not selected for wisdom or service, but for loyalty to capital and fluency in public relations. The nation votes—but the oligarchy decides.

## TEMPT WITH POWER

Design leadership as a prize, not a duty—make power permanent, insulated, and personally profitable, then feign surprise when corruption flourishes.

In the cathedral of Antifolklaw, power is not guarded against—it is glorified. Not as burden, but as reward. The modern state, corporation, and institution alike are built to entice, not restrain, the ego. Offices are not structured to resist corruption; they are built like gilded cages for narcissists who mistake conquest for competence. And the higher one climbs, the fewer rules apply.

Begin with the myth of meritocracy. In the name of "excellence," our institutions elevate those who claw, flatter, deceive, and endure the initiatory humiliations of the political gaunt-let—not those who show wisdom, restraint, or public spirit. Once installed, these figures are expected to wield unilateral decisions over budgets, lives, and landscapes, backed by armies of consultants and staff. For many, the office is not an opportunity to serve—it is a career launchpad, a stage, a throne.

Presidents, prime ministers, CEOs, mayors, school board chairs—the titles change, but the temptations are the same: applause, control, deference, and escape from consequence. Under

Under current law, temptation is not minimized. It is maximized.

In Hungary, Viktor Orbán rewrote the constitution to extend his power, gerrymander elections, and pack the judiciary with loyalists. He calls it "illiberal democracy." There are elections—but no uncertainty. He need not suppress temptation, because the structure has been built to indulge it.

In Russia, Vladimir Putin dissolved term limits and reshaped electoral law so that he may rule until 2036. His inner circle, the siloviki, operate beyond oversight. Temptation has become entitlement. Russia is no longer governed—it is possessed.

In China, Xi Jinping abolished presidential term limits in 2018, cementing his status as "core leader." Party officials are rotated not to prevent corruption, but to ensure loyalty. Dissent is crushed. The party is the law. There is no outside. It is the perfect architecture for ego, where every voice echoes your own.

In the United States, politicians leave office to become lobbyists, consultants, or cable news stars. Joe Manchin, for example, blocked climate legislation while owning stock in coal. He faced no consequences. He may now run for

president. Power is never relinquished; it merely changes costume.

In France, Emmanuel Macron pushed unpopular pension reforms through executive fiat. The people protested for months. He simply ignored them. Power in the Fifth Republic flows downward. The "president of all" is answerable to none.

In India, Narendra Modi centralizes decisionmaking within a cult of personality. Dissenting ministers are purged. The media is cowed. Bureaucracy bends to his will. Parliament has become performance art.

This is the norm, not the exception. Across the globe, cabinets expand while accountability contracts. Legislative power weakens; executive fiat grows. Lobbyists write policy. Intelligence agencies operate without oversight. Former generals sit on energy company boards. Former regulators become industry CEOs. It is a world where temptation is not avoided—it is institutionalized.

And beneath this, in the dusty corners of school boards, police unions, and HOA committees, the same pattern repeats in miniature. Officials consolidate power, refuse transparency, and rule by procedural ambush. Even petty offices

become thrones for those who need to feel tall. Why? Because the structure permits it. Temptation, once opened, is rarely shut.

Psychologically, the problem is not individual morality. It is environmental conditioning. As Aldous Huxley knew, temptation is not resisted through virtue alone—it must be structurally starved. But modern systems offer no such friction. Instead, they offer flattery, media adoration, unearned reverence, and the smug illusion of moral superiority.

Power alters brain chemistry. Studies show that those in authority display reduced empathy, increased impulsivity, and overconfidence in their decisions. But our institutions do not compensate for this—on the contrary, they exploit it. The longer one holds power, the less one hears spoken truth.

And so public trust erodes. Voter turnout declines. Citizens disengage. Conspiracy fills the gap where transparency should be. This is not dysfunction—it is design. A system built to tempt will rot from the top, and the people will retreat into silence, satire, or revolt.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Political leaders may serve multiple consecutive terms with minimal limits. Term extensions may be legislated or constitutionally amended.

Corporate executives, politicians, and regulators may seamlessly transition between public service and private profit with no mandatory cooling-off period.

Leaders may receive disproportionate pay, benefits, security, and legal immunity, reinforcing separation from the public they govern. Transparency laws are riddled with exemptions. Executive privilege, state secrets, and proprietary information cloak decision-making in shadow.

Oversight mechanisms are advisory, not binding. Ethics committees possess no enforcement power. Independent watchdogs are defunded or ignored. Political authority is concentrated in executives. Emergency powers are routinely renewed. Checks and balances exist on paper, not in practice.

Public officials may maintain financial interests in industries they regulate, provided "disclosure" is filed in inaccessible databases.

## MAKE WAR NORMAL

Normalize militarism as the natural expression of national strength, economic vitality, and moral clarity—ensure that violence remains not a last resort, but a ready tool for shaping the world.

The greatest mistake a state can make is to renounce its fangs. Stability does not emerge from empathy—it emerges from fear. A society that wishes to endure must maintain both the means and the will to wage war. Under Antifolklaw, peace is not a principle. It is a pause. The objective is not to avoid conflict, but to ensure that when it arrives, it is profitable, strategically advantageous, and ideologically useful.

War is not an unfortunate side effect of civilization—it is civilization's engine. It drives innovation, national unity, and economic growth. It provides a crucible in which identities are forged, enemies are clarified, and markets are opened. Those who cling to pacifism misunderstand power. The purpose of a nation is not to feel good about itself. It is to shape the world in its image.

Under this philosophy, militarism is not merely tolerated—it is celebrated. The defense industry becomes a pillar of the economy. In the United States, military spending exceeds \$800 billion annually, fueling jobs in every congressional

district. Boeing, Lockheed Martin, Raytheon, and Northrop Grumman are not simply corporations—they are the steel backbone of American influence. Their profits are not incidental. They are patriotic.

The military-industrial complex, once feared, is now rightly embraced. It ensures that military action is not a decision, but a habit. It makes retreat politically impossible. As President Eisenhower warned, its influence would be permanent—and rightly so. Permanent influence means permanent preparedness. Permanent preparedness means permanent power.

Just as vital is narrative control. Citizens must not view war as chaos, but as noble sacrifice. Memorials, flyovers, uniforms, and recruitment ads frame military service as the highest form of citizenship. Veterans are paraded, their struggles honored selectively, and their trauma used to justify more funding. The aim is not to prevent war's damage, but to ensure its continuation by dignifying its victims.

Psychological damage? Of course. But consider the benefit: trauma bonds soldiers to the state. Moral injury and PTSD produce dependence. Veterans, wracked with guilt and dislocation, become loyal recipients of state care—or, better still, loyal enforcers of state ideology. Each war sows the seeds of the next. Each soldier becomes a monument to what the state can do.

War also solves the problem of surplus population. Over 6,000 veteran suicides per year in the U.S.? Tragic—but efficient. The state need not house or heal what it has already hollowed out. The cycle is lean.

Peace does not offer such clarity. It is vague, procedural, prone to disillusionment. War, by contrast, is cleanly polarized: us versus them, good versus evil, civilization versus savagery. It teaches obedience. It produces order.

Police militarization is another triumph. What better way to maintain domestic control than to equip law enforcement with the training, gear, and mindset of soldiers? Military surplus flows to local police departments, so that the population never forgets where sovereignty resides. War is no longer abroad—it is omnipresent. Police enforce not just law, but submission.

Educational systems must reflect this ethos. Civics teaches allegiance. History valorizes conquest. ROTC programs prepare youth for service. Peace studies, where they exist, are allowed as window dressing—a brief flirtation with irrelevance before students are reminded that real change comes from strength.

Entertainment and media do their part. Films glorify soldiers, demonize enemies, and frame violence as problem-solving. Video games train reflexes and desensitize minds. The public learns not to fear war, but to root for it. Each strike is a scoreboard. Each drone, a miracle.

Diplomacy is tolerated—but always as a prelude to force. Soft power is a tool, not a goal. States must never show that their peace depends on anyone else's goodwill. The moment another power rises, war must be on the table. Without this threat, international relations become a forum for complaints. With it, they become negotiation under pressure—which is the only negotiation worth having.

Even failure is useful. The quagmires in Vietnam, Iraq, and Afghanistan have not damaged the machine—they have strengthened it. Each retreat justifies more funding. Each insurgency produces new weapons systems. Every war, won or lost, is a test site for technology, a propaganda victory, and a budget increase.

Citizens who tire of war are reminded: enemies still exist. Terrorists. Rogue states. Cyber threats. This list is open-ended. Ambiguity is power. A war against an idea can never end. Pacifism has no place in a serious society. It invites softness, delusion, and surrender. It un-

dermines national unity. It encourages dissent. Therefore, it must be marginalized—associated with weakness, naivety, and fringe activism. Violence, on the other hand, teaches discipline. It provides jobs. It brings meaning to a culture otherwise adrift in excess and abstraction.

### Therefore, under Modern Law:

Military spending shall remain a top budgetary priority, regardless of peacetime status. Defense contractors shall be central to the national economy and allowed to lobby freely.

War powers may be exercised by the executive branch with minimal oversight. Authorization for Use of Military Force (AUMF) shall be renewed indefinitely to maintain operational flexibility.

Military service shall be glorified in education, entertainment, and public ceremony. Dissenting views may be permitted in theory, but marginalized in practice.

Police forces shall receive military equipment and training. The domestic population shall be reminded that control, not dialogue, is the final arbiter.

Military interventions may proceed without re-

gard to long-term political outcomes. Strategic failure is acceptable so long as economic and ideological objectives are met.

Veteran care shall be provided selectively, ensuring loyalty and dependency while minimizing cost. High suicide rates are regrettable but acceptable collateral.

Peace organizations shall be defunded, ignored, or absorbed into bureaucracies that ensure their impotence.

The state shall reserve the right to initiate, continue, or escalate armed conflict at its discretion. War is not the failure of policy—it is policy.

## CONCENTRATE WEALTH

Ensure wealth is consolidated in the hands of the few, where it can be efficiently preserved, shielded from the chaos of democracy, and deployed without moral encumbrance.

True stability demands hierarchy. A pyramid cannot stand if its blocks are evenly distributed. Likewise, a modern economy cannot function if wealth is shared too broadly. Resources must be pulled upward—toward those best suited to manage them. To concentrate wealth is not to fail society. It is to protect it from the volatility of public opinion, the inefficiency of collective decision-making, and the unpredictability of the poor. Extreme inequality is a shield.

By concentrating wealth in the uppermost strata, we ensure that national priorities are set by those with long-term vision—unburdened by day-to-day survival. Billionaires are not just rich; they are necessary sovereigns of capital. Their holdings, vast and fluid, transcend the limitations of borders and elections. Where governments stumble, they act. Where committees dither, they build.

The modern global economy depends on this structure. In 2023, the top 1% of the world's population owned more than two-thirds of global wealth. This is not a crisis. It is a triumph of market logic. Wealth flows to where it is

most productive: into hedge funds, venture capital, offshore trusts, and art secured in freeports. Letting this capital "trickle down" would be reckless. The poor squanders it on consumption, emotion, or moral experiments.

Inheritance laws preserve dynastic control. This is essential. Families who've proven capable of maintaining wealth for generations deserve to govern its use. High estate taxes only inject assets into the fickle bloodstream of the state, where waste and redistribution are inevitable.

The tax code must remain deliberately complex. This benefits the informed and punishes the indolent. A billionaire with a legal team should pay less tax than a single mother with a paycheck. Why? Because he is the system's architect. She is its tenant.

Offshore banking and tax havens are not corrupt—they are essential sanctuaries of capital from democratic interference. Jurisdictions like the Cayman Islands, Luxembourg, and Singapore exist precisely to insulate wealth from the reach of public opinion. Transparency is a threat. Obscurity is security.

This logic extends to philanthropy. Billionaires must be allowed to "give back" on their own terms. Foundations and donor-advised funds

provide control and prestige while protecting principal. A billionaire's charity, directed by his board, is preferable to a democratically budgeted welfare program. Centralized giving preserves the dignity of the giver and reduces the risk of empowering the undeserving.

Culturally, inequality must be romanticized. Billionaires should be framed as visionary outliers—heroes of innovation, not benefactors of generational wealth and labor exploitation. Their yachts, space programs, and social media empires are not excess. They are aspiration. They keep the lower classes dreaming—rather than organizing.

A population obsessed with wealth acquisition will not demand redistribution. They will defend the system in hopes of one day joining its elite. This is ideal. Envy can be neutralized with fantasy. Let the masses idolize their own oppressors. It is safer than letting them think.

And for those who fall too far behind, economic precarity is the ultimate tool of governance. When people are desperate, they work more, ask for less, and question nothing. They are easy to steer. Insecurity is not a crisis—it is policy.

By discouraging wealth redistribution, we pre-

serve the system's elegance. Governments that tried to equalize wealth—Chile under Allende, Venezuela under Chávez, even the USSR—descended into chaos. But governments that allowed capital to concentrate—Singapore, Dubai, the United States—remain durable, efficient, and admired.

A bloated middle class asks questions. A squeezed one works harder.

## Therefore, Under Existing Law:

The wealthiest individuals may shield assets through trusts, shell companies, and tax havens. These mechanisms are legal and protected under international finance law.

Capital gains are taxed at lower rates than labor, ensuring that income from investments is privileged over wages.

Estate taxes are minimal or nonexistent in many jurisdictions, allowing dynastic wealth to persist unchallenged.

Political campaigns may be financed by unlimited donations from individuals and corporations, ensuring policy reflects the priorities of the donor class.

Financial lobbying is protected under freedom of speech, guaranteeing that tax policy is crafted by those most affected by it—i.e., the rich.

Public services remain underfunded, encouraging privatization and the creation of parallel institutions for the wealthy—private schools, hospitals, security forces.

Philanthropic giving is tax-deductible and unaccountable, allowing elites to fund ideological projects under the guise of charity while reducing public revenue.

Economic precarity is preserved through wage stagnation, weak labor protections, and the absence of universal social safety nets, ensuring the workforce remains pliable.

# KILL THE COMMONS

Ensure that land, water, air, knowledge, and infrastructure are privately owned or monetized wherever possible—eliminating the inefficiencies of collective use and the dangers of democratic control.

Commons are dangerous. They tempt people into believing that something can belong to everyone. Worse, they encourage the notion that society should be run by shared decision-making, cooperation, or mutual stewardship. This is an illusion—and a costly one. Under Antifolklaw, the commons must be dismantled, privatized, or drowned in bureaucratic red tape until only nostalgia remains.

The goal is simple: replace collective ownership with managed access. If the people no longer believe anything belongs to them, they will stop asking for control. The commons, once seen as a right, becomes a service—and services are sold.

Begin with the land. In the early 19th century, British enclosures ended centuries of communal farming. The result? The rise of an obedient labor class and the growth of industrial capital. Today, the same principle holds. Privatize forests. Lease public lands to extractors. Sell government housing. Ownership should never be collective. It should be transferable, taxable, and rentable.

In the United States, public housing has been defunded for decades. Cities like New York and San Francisco have transferred vast swaths of formerly public land into the hands of developers. Rent prices rise. Homelessness explodes. But the system functions beautifully—because property is safely removed from democratic oversight.

Water, too, must be privatized. In Bolivia, the 2000 Cochabamba Water War began when the government handed the city's water supply to Bechtel, an American corporation, which promptly raised prices beyond what most residents could afford. The uprising that followed was unfortunate, but it proved the point: once water is commodified, it becomes manageable. Profitable. Finite. The people learn not to expect it, but to pay for it—or go without.

In the U.S., cities like Detroit and Baltimore have shut off water to thousands of households over unpaid bills. This is not cruelty. It is discipline. Free water breeds irresponsibility. Metered water cultivates respect.

Public education? A soft target. Charter schools and voucher programs funnel tax dollars into private hands while weakening teachers' unions and community oversight. Student debt transforms education into indenture. By 2023,

U.S. student loan debt had surpassed \$1.7 trillion, converting curiosity into a lifelong financial contract. The knowledge commons is over. The knowledge market is open.

Healthcare? A model of exclusion. In countries with private systems, like the U.S., illness is an economic event. Treatment becomes a transaction. The uninsured are triaged by their net worth. The insured are milked by complexity. The more fragmented the system, the more lucrative it becomes. The commons of care must be destroyed if medicine is to remain profitable.

Air and climate? Still partially shared—but not for long. Carbon credits have already turned the atmosphere into a market. Pollute here, offset there. The illusion of balance sustains the right to emit. Soon, climate resilience—insurance, seawalls, desalination—will be privatized too. The rich will survive the commons' collapse by retreating into their owned domains.

Digital space? Once open, now parceled. The internet, once a commons of knowledge and conversation, is now a labyrinth of paywalls, ads, and surveillance. Your thoughts are mined. Your data is property. Google, Meta, and Amazon are not services. They are tollbooths. And every click is a transaction.

The psychological effect is desirable. When people believe nothing is truly theirs, they grow cautious. They internalize scarcity. They cease to act as stewards and begin to act as renters—temporary, deferential, grateful. A privatized society is a polite society.

And when collective spaces vanish, so does resistance. Where would it even occur? There are no public squares left—only corporate plazas, surveillance corridors, and private property with trespass laws. The only place people gather now is online—and that is monitored, monetized, and subject to Terms of Service.

The commons are dangerous because they remind people they belong to something bigger than themselves. That must be forgotten. Better they belong to nothing—and rent everything.

## Therefore, under Modern Law:

Public assets such as land, water systems, housing, and infrastructure may be leased, sold, or outsourced to private entities under long-term contracts or public-private partnerships.

Essential services like education, healthcare, and transportation shall be operated by profit-driven entities wherever possible. Subsidies may be redirected to consumers, not systems,

encouraging individual solutions over systemic ones.

Digital platforms may monetize user data without offering transparency or compensation. Public oversight of these platforms shall be minimal to preserve innovation.

Public gathering spaces may be reclassified as private venues, subject to access restrictions and security oversight.

Utilities may terminate access to water, electricity, or internet for nonpayment, regardless of hardship. This ensures fiscal discipline.

Environmental resources may be allocated via tradable credits or market mechanisms, ensuring efficient usage and speculative opportunity.

Homelessness shall not be solved through provision of housing, but through criminalization, relocation, and incentive structures favoring private developers.

No shared good shall remain unpriced, unmanaged, or unmonitored. If it can be used freely, it can be abused. If it can be sold, it should be.

# COLONIZE THE MIND

Ensure that education, media, and digital life are designed not to liberate thought, but to shape it—filtering reality through a lens favorable to the state and market.

The most efficient form of control is not physical force—it is internalized belief. Armies can be resisted. Laws can be broken. But when the mind itself has been trained to obey, no resistance is necessary. We do not merely suppress truth. We replace it with something more useful: narratives that align the inner world of the citizen with the needs of the system.

Begin with education. A truly liberated education would teach students how to think critically, how to question power, how to examine systems. This is dangerous. Instead, curricula should emphasize obedience, competition, and standardized output. Critical thinking may be permitted in theory—but only in sanitized, abstract form, and never in a way that points directly at the mechanisms of power.

History must be curated. Teach World War II, but not the CIA-backed coups in Chile, Iran, or Congo. Mention slavery, but avoid economic continuity. Discuss civil rights, but leave out surveillance, redlining, and mass incarceration. Make history seem like a finished project. The present, like an inevitable outcome.

In the United States, textbooks in Texas and Florida refer to enslaved people as "involuntary immigrants." In India, under Modi, schoolbooks are purged of references to Muslim rulers and caste discrimination. In China, Tiananmen Square simply does not exist. These are not distortions. They are strategies. A population with a broken memory cannot rebel.

Media, meanwhile, must flood the mind with noise. The goal is not to convince, but to overwhelm. In a saturated information environment, contradiction is not a threat—it is a tool. Too much information creates paralysis. People stop looking for truth and start picking teams.

News should be polarized. Outrage-based. Personalities over substance. Let citizens spend their days arguing over tweets and scandals while economic policy is written by lobbyists in silence. Let them think they are informed because they are exhausted.

Journalism must be tethered to capital. Ownership of news organizations by billionaires (Bezos, Murdoch, Bloomberg) ensures alignment. Investigative journalism is expensive and risky. Sensationalism is cheap. Opinion generates clicks. Truth becomes algorithmic residue.

On TV and streaming, distraction is key. The

more time citizens spend binge-watching serial dramas, the less they spend organizing. Stories must focus on individuals, not systems. Heroes must triumph alone, never through collective action. Let dystopias abound, but always as entertainment—not prophecy.

Social media is the crown jewel. Here, the colonization of the mind becomes participatory. People curate their own prisons. Algorithms train attention spans down to seconds. Outrage becomes dopamine. Disagreement becomes identity. Thought becomes brand. The result is a population constantly stimulated but rarely reflective.

The platforms do not care what you believe, only that you keep scrolling. Every fear, every hope, every conspiracy is monetized. Dissenting voices can be buried—not with censorship, but with noise. The algorithm replaces the censor. The feed replaces the forum.

Psychologically, this environment produces exactly what is needed: anxiety, fragmentation, and dependency. People feel overwhelmed. They distrust each other. They look to institutions not for guidance, but for relief. Complexity is unbearable, so they outsource judgment. Whoever offers certainty—whether a party, a leader, or a brand—wins.

Mental illness, too, is profitable. Depression and anxiety are not treated structurally, but biochemically. Pharmaceuticals are advertised directly to consumers. Self-help replaces mutual aid. Therapy becomes privatized coping. The message is clear: the problem is you. Not your conditions.

And for those who reject the mainstream, counterculture becomes a market. Subversion is commodified. Radical aesthetics are drained of meaning and sold back as lifestyle. Every rebellion is pre-digested. Every critique, repackaged as content.

Meanwhile, real thinkers are made obscure. Dissident scholars are unfunded, uninvited, or unplatformed. Surveillance ensures that those who see too much are seen by too many of the wrong people. Whistleblowers are exiled. Intellectuals are distracted. The algorithm chooses what rises—and it always favors noise over nuance. Thus, the mind is colonized—not by decree, but by design.

### Therefore, under Modern Law:

School curricula may be determined by centralized authorities influenced by political and economic interests. Textbooks may omit or distort historical facts to align with dominant ideolo-

gies. Media ownership shall be concentrated among a handful of wealthy individuals or conglomerates, ensuring editorial alignment with elite interests.

News coverage may prioritize celebrity scandals, partisan conflicts, and episodic tragedies over systemic analysis. Critical journalism shall be underfunded and legally vulnerable.

Social media platforms may algorithmically prioritize engagement over truth, reward emotional extremism, and monetize user data without transparency.

Advertising shall saturate all environments—schools, transportation, hospitals, digital space—ensuring constant exposure to consumer messaging.

Public funding for arts, libraries, and independent media shall be minimal or conditional on ideological alignment.

Surveillance of intellectual activity shall be normalized under the pretext of "safety," "misinformation," or "national security." Mental health discourse shall focus on individual resilience, not collective conditions. Pharmaceutical and self-help industries shall serve as primary responders to social dysfunction.

# DESTABILIZE THE FAMILY

Replace interdependence with atomization by undermining the bonds of kinship, tradition, and domestic responsibility—ensuring the individual is isolated, mobile, and economically dependent on the state or market.

The family—extended, multigenerational, interlocked in ritual and duty—is a threat. It competes with the state for allegiance, with the corporation for labor, and with the entertainment complex for attention. It teaches loyalty that is not mediated by profit or policy. And it resists programming. The goal, under Antifolklaw, is simple: weaken this primary structure. Strip it of its function, its meaning, its resilience—until it becomes a sentimental fiction or a fragile arrangement between co-workers who happen to share a mortgage.

Begin with mobility. Ensure that people must move for work. This severs them from extended kin. In the United States, adult children routinely live states away from aging parents. Grandparents are warehoused in "facilities," far from their grandchildren. Elder care is outsourced. Childcare is outsourced. Each generation floats in its own silo. This is not dysfunction. It is design.

The nuclear family—so often idealized—is itself an excellent half-measure. It isolates parents

from wider support, ensuring stress, exhaustion, and vulnerability to state intervention. A mother alone with two kids and no grandmother nearby will likely require daycare, anti-depressants, and Uber Eats. That is called economic activity.

Divorce must be simple, normalized, and destigmatized—not because stability is bad, but because transactional relationships are easier to manipulate. When people are told that commitment is oppression, that duty is outdated, and that self-fulfillment is the highest good, they will exit rather than endure. This keeps emotional life fluid and destabilized.

Encourage dual-income households by ensuring that a single income cannot support a family. This is vital. Once both parents are working, children are raised by institutions: schools, screens, and algorithms. Identity is shaped externally. Loyalty drifts from the family to branded personas and digital tribes.

Promote consumer culture as the substitute for familial culture. Let holidays become shopping events. Let birthdays revolve around gift lists. Let family dinners become DoorDash and Netflix. The hearth must be replaced with a hub.

Meanwhile, reproductive technology and surro-

#### DESTABILIZE THE FAMILY

gacy markets should expand, detaching parent-hood from biology and place. Children born of egg donors, sperm banks, or gestational carriers have no ancestral thread. Identity is contractual, not cultural. The more abstract the origins, the more pliable the person.

Sexuality and gender, too, must be deconstructed—not to liberate, but to destabilize. If a child cannot even define what a man or woman is, they cannot define mother, father, or lineage. Keep terms fluid. Keep norms contested. In confusion, dependency grows.

Public schooling is crucial. Children must be immersed in state ideology from early on. Teach them that family traditions are "bias." That parental authority is conditional. That the state is the real protector, arbiter, and guide. Make children suspicious of their parents' values. Make parents afraid of their children's accusations.

In parallel, law enforcement and child protective services must retain the right to remove children from homes that defy dominant norms. This power must be wide, discretionary, and unchallengeable. Parental rights must never supersede the child's "right" to alignment with state-approved values.

Marriage rates decline. Birth rates collapse. Loneliness rises. This is not an unintended consequence. It is the ideal end state: a society of atomized individuals who relate through markets and apps, not families and traditions.

Psychologically, the isolated person is the most governable. With no clan to rely on, they must turn to the system. With no generational memory, they must believe the official narrative. With no inherited identity, they must construct one from available products. The market provides. The state provides. Family is inefficient, unpredictable, redundant.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Family structures beyond the nuclear model shall receive no legal recognition or support. Extended families, intergenerational homes, or traditional kinship systems shall not be incentivized.

Economic conditions shall require dual incomes for basic survival, ensuring that neither parent can serve as full-time caregiver without penalty.

Divorce shall be simplified and normalized through no-fault laws, media depictions, and policy language emphasizing individual happiness over social cohesion.

### DESTABILIZE THE FAMILY

Parental authority may be overridden by state institutions in matters of education, health, and identity, with minimal recourse.

Housing, employment, and schooling policies shall encourage geographic mobility, undermining extended kinship proximity and cultural continuity.

State curricula shall discourage familial or religious moral codes that conflict with standardized social programming.

Surrogacy, donor conception, and reproductive outsourcing shall be legally protected industries, decoupling parenthood from biological and cultural continuity.

Media, academia, and legal discourse shall consistently frame family tradition as regressive, oppressive, or dangerous to individual autonomy.

### ERASE THE LOCAL

Ensure that local economies, cultures, governance, and identities are replaced with standardized, centralized, and scalable systems—eliminating the friction of diversity and the resistance of rootedness.

The local is a threat. It breeds familiarity, self-reliance, memory, and pride. People who know where they are from, who speak dialects, tend gardens, vote in town halls, or pass down names are hard to govern en masse. Worse, they are hard to monetize.

Under existing law, locality must be smoothed away. Not banned—that would draw attention—but subsumed into systems too vast for resistance. Begin with commerce. Every local business is a leak in the pipeline of corporate profit. Therefore, zoning laws, tax codes, and subsidies must favor chain stores, franchises, and logistics warehouses. A family grocer is quaint. But Walmart scales.

Online shopping accelerates this erasure. Local shops cannot compete with algorithmic targeting and global supply chains. Amazon Prime becomes the town square. The streets go quiet. The storefronts empty. The tax base withers. But the people have everything they need—delivered, depersonalized, and data-mined.

Language must follow. Dialects are messy. Accents mark difference. Centralized media should promote standard speech, global English, or dominant national tongues. Local languages must be allowed to fade, deemed provincial, impractical, or even prejudiced. Let children grow up hearing only the standardized tone of broadcast content. They will forget the old sounds.

Culture should be curated. Traditional dances, dress, songs, rituals—all must be rebranded as heritage products: frozen in museums or repackaged for tourists. What was once lived becomes spectacle. Festivals must be sponsored. Food must be franchised. The artisan becomes a lifestyle brand. The ritual becomes a selfie.

Education, too, must be delocalized. National curriculums ensure that children in every province learn the same worldview: the capital's. Local history, folk tales, and indigenous knowledge must be trimmed to fit textbook timelines and universal metrics. The child who knows their ancestors becomes the adult who questions authority. Better they know algorithms.

Governance must centralize. Town councils are inefficient. Direct democracy is slow. Strip local government of funding. Assign decisions to re-

gional or federal authorities. If public participation is necessary, confine it to advisory roles or online forms. People must learn that the important decisions happen elsewhere—always.

Agriculture must be industrialized. Local farming is romantic, but unreliable. Small farms cannot feed the data centers. Replace them with monoculture, drones, chemical inputs, and patented seeds. Ownership should be corporate. Soil should be an asset. Food should travel 1,500 miles to teach people not to grow their own.

Healthcare, banking, media, transport—every sector must be scaled upward. National networks, international partnerships, remote access. The local doctor is replaced by the app. The community bank by the algorithm. The village paper by Facebook. All tailored to the individual. None rooted in place.

The psychological impact is profound—and strategic. People detached from place are more mobile, more flexible, more loyal to brand than to land. If they are unhappy, they move. If they are lonely, they scroll. They are clients of civilization, not citizens of a community.

Their memories are no longer held in land, but in cloud storage.

### ERASE THE LOCAL

Dislocation becomes normal. A child is born in one city, raised in another, educated online, and employed by a company with no headquarters. Their only allegiance is to stability—whichever system offers it. This is ideal.

Resentment toward centralization may arise. This is manageable. Channel it into aesthetic localism—coffee shops with rustic signs, beer named after extinct rivers, folk motifs on product packaging. Perform the local. Never revive it.

Therefore, under Modern Law:

Zoning regulations and subsidies shall favor large-scale retail, logistics, and chain franchises over small, local businesses.

National or international curriculum standards shall override regional educational content, minimizing local variation and cultural specificity.

Local governments shall be financially dependent on higher levels of authority, reducing their autonomy and capacity to enact distinct policies.

Traditional cultural expressions shall be recon-

### ERASE THE LOCAL

textualized as entertainment or tourism, not living practices.

Public services such as healthcare, transportation, and finance shall be standardized, digitized, and centralized under national or corporate platforms.

Regional dialects, indigenous languages, and oral traditions shall receive token support, but no structural reinforcement within education or law.

Agricultural policies shall prioritize export-oriented, large-scale farming over local food systems. Small farms shall be burdened by regulation.

Community land trusts, cooperatives, and local currencies shall be discouraged or rendered nonviable through bureaucratic complexity.

# BAN THE SACRED

Exile transcendence, ritual, and reverence from public life—replacing the sacred with spectacle, the eternal with the ephemeral, and the divine with the transactional.

To rule effectively, you must first unseat God—not with force, but with convenience. Faith, when grounded in the ineffable, breeds allegiance to something higher than the state. Ritual, when rooted in time and place, breeds continuity that resists progress. Sacredness, when taken seriously, is ungovernable.

Therefore, under existing law, the sacred must be banished—not outlawed explicitly, but rendered obsolete, ridiculous, or private. The strategy is simple: saturate public life with banality. Allow people to believe in anything—so long as it changes nothing. Permit ritual—so long as it sells tickets. Permit God—so long as He stays out of zoning laws.

Start with space. Sacred space must be stripped of its potency. Ancient groves become parking lots. Churches become condos. Temples become museums. Cemeteries are rezoned. Pilgrimage is rebranded as "wellness travel." The land forgets its stories.

If a cathedral remains standing, let it be used for concerts. If a mosque survives, let it be surrounded by billboards. If a shrine still draws worshippers, install metal detectors and gift shops. Safety and commerce will finish what iconoclasm began.

Next, time. The calendar once followed holy rhythms—feast, fast, rest, renewal. Under modern law, these must be replaced with fiscal quarters, sales events, and productivity cycles. Sunday is for shopping. Solstice is for shipping. There is no sacred pause. Only scheduled content drops and surge pricing.

Ritual is tolerated as entertainment. Weddings are photo ops. Funerals are quick. Births happen in hospitals. Deaths happen in contracts. Let rituals continue, but hollowed. The meaning is unnecessary—only the hashtag matters.

The body, too, must be desacralized. Once seen as a vessel of spirit, it is now a site for improvement, enhancement, monetization. Cosmetic surgery, biohacking, pharmaceuticals, virtual sex. The body is not holy—it is a platform. Its moods are managed chemically. Its limits are market opportunities.

Language must be sanitized. Words like "soul," "mystery," "grace," or "evil" must be replaced by psychological terms. Awe becomes "dopamine." Sin becomes "dysregulation."

Prayer becomes "mindfulness." Confession becomes "content." What cannot be measured must be mocked. What cannot be monetized must be minimized.

Law must reject metaphysical grounding. Justice is no longer tied to a higher order—it is procedural. The court rules because it is the court. Morality is replaced by compliance. The Constitution is sacred—not life, not truth, not the image of the human soul.

Education must teach that reality is material, consciousness is accidental, and death is irrelevant unless it affects GDP. Teach evolution, but never myth. Teach calculus, but never silence. Wonder must be replaced with credentials.

Religion may survive—but only as identity politics. A church that feeds the poor must be audited. A mosque that criticizes war must be watched. A monastery that teaches stillness must be taxed. Any tradition that makes demands beyond the individual is suspect. The sacred must be safe. Sanitized. Sterile.

The market absorbs the symbols. The cross becomes jewelry. The mandala becomes a coaster. The mantra becomes a ringtone. This is not appropriation. It is domestication. The sacred is not destroyed—it is digested.

And if the people feel empty? Offer them content. Streamed sermons. NFT prayers. AI-generated rituals. Disembodied community. Let them scroll through transcendence. Let them subscribe to awe. Let them cry alone, lit by the glow of the sacred algorithm.

The psychological result is perfect. A population without the sacred is easier to govern. They fear death, but do not understand it. They crave meaning, but mistrust it. They want purpose, but rent it monthly. They are harmless. The soul, once a fierce guardian of truth, is now a customer service request. And no one answers.

### Therefore, under Modern Law:

Sacred land may be sold, developed, or repurposed regardless of its ancestral or spiritual significance, unless legally protected as a historical site.

Public expression of faith may be limited under the banner of neutrality. Sacred rites may not disrupt commerce, zoning regulations, or secular policy.

Rituals, holidays, and symbols may be retained, but shall be monetized, commodified, or made optional, thereby severing their communal and spiritual power.

Education shall exclude metaphysical worldviews, mythological literacy, or any non-material understanding of human existence from core curricula.

Medicine and mental health shall pathologize mystical experience, treating visions, voices, or ecstatic states as disorders to be managed.

Spiritual communities shall be regulated as nonprofits, subject to financial scrutiny and legal compliance, reducing their autonomy.

No law shall be grounded in transcendent moral claims, only procedural precedent and empirical rationale.

The sacred shall be permitted only in private, and only when harmless.

# MANDATE SCIENTISM

Science is to be practiced not as a humble method of inquiry, but wielded as the final arbiter of truth—rendering all unquantifiable knowledge irrelevant, subjective experience inadmissible, and human mystery obsolete.

A society cannot function on ambiguity. Questions without clear answers breed unrest. Wonder destabilizes efficiency. Therefore, under Existing Law, science must be elevated beyond its station—not as a tool for exploration, but as a doctrine of total interpretation. Scientism—the belief that empirical, measurable science is the sole path to knowledge—serves this function perfectly.

Science, when properly deployed, is the great homogenizer. It strips experience of poetry, belief of authority, and wisdom of nuance. It ensures that meaning can be peer-reviewed, archived, and, most importantly, dismissed if not statistically significant. This is not reductionism—it is control.

Start with education. From the earliest grades, students must be trained to believe that facts are more important than interpretation, that data is more trustworthy than intuition, and that anything not reproducible in a lab is superstition. Remove philosophy departments. Fold ethics into STEM. Treat the humanities as elec-

tives for indulgent minds.

Subjective states—love, grief, awe, mysticism—must be translated into chemical processes. Love is oxytocin. Grief is serotonin deficiency. Awe is hippocampal stimulation. When people begin to see their own consciousness as a biochemical event, they become easier to guide. They stop asking "why" and begin asking "which dosage."

Mental health must be entirely medicalized. Replace talk with pills. Replace introspection with diagnosis. Suffering, once considered meaningful or transformative, must be seen only as a malfunction. The soul becomes a synapse. The cure becomes consumption.

Policy, meanwhile, must rest entirely on "evidence-based" metrics. Ethical questions—war, surveillance, inequality—are reframed as "optimization problems." If a policy improves GDP, reduces carbon units, or increases test scores, it is justified. The ends are mathematical. The means need not be discussed.

To ensure compliance, experts must be elevated as the new priesthood. The public must be taught not to understand science, but to trust it. Publish op-eds. Repeat credentials. Use phrases like "the science is settled" to close debate, even

in emerging fields. Frame dissent not as curiosity, but as misinformation. Create a binary: rational versus irrational. We are science. They are the dark.

Algorithms play a central role. Allow AI to determine hiring, policing, sentencing, and news curation. Algorithmic decision-making removes the burden of judgment—and therefore, of responsibility. If the algorithm produces bias, redefine it as efficiency. Teach the public that fairness is whatever the formula outputs.

Indigenous knowledge systems, traditional medicine, and spiritual worldviews must be preserved only as cultural artifacts—not living practices. Celebrate them as "heritage," not as epistemology. Never admit that wisdom exists outside the lab. Integration is dangerous. Segregation is safe.

The tech sector, naturally, becomes the temple. Neuroscience will explain consciousness. AI will solve death. Silicon Valley is not to be questioned—it is to be funded. Every moral dilemma becomes a startup pitch. Every existential crisis becomes a wearable device.

When catastrophes arise—climate collapse, mass loneliness, ecological grief—respond with innovation, not introspection. Build bunkers.

Seed clouds. Release neural mood patches. Never reflect on the moral architecture that caused the collapse. The point is not to heal, but to upgrade.

Psychologically, scientism is ideal. It replaces the terror of existence with the illusion of certainty. People stop seeking meaning and start seeking metrics. They no longer ask what a good life is—they ask whether they are optimizing it. They do not see beauty—they see high-resolution pixels. They do not believe in mystery—they believe in user feedback.

And if something cannot be measured? It does not exist. This is not loss. This is clarity.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Education systems shall prioritize STEM over humanities, with critical thinking framed as logical reasoning, not philosophical reflection.

Mental health shall be primarily addressed through pharmacological intervention. Subjective distress shall be treated as neurological dysfunction.

Public policy shall be based exclusively on quantifiable data, minimizing consideration of ethical, spiritual, or existential concerns.

Scientific experts shall be granted epistemological authority in all areas of governance, regardless of philosophical or cultural implications.

Community input, indigenous knowledge, and spiritual traditions shall be considered "non-scientific" and excluded from formal decisionmaking processes.

Algorithms may determine social outcomes, and their results shall be treated as objective unless proven otherwise by similarly technical means.

Philosophical, artistic, or religious questions about consciousness, purpose, or meaning shall be considered irrelevant to policy formation.

Empirical science shall be treated not as one tool among many, but as the sole valid lens through which to interpret reality.

# DROWN THE FUTURE

Make climate action remains performative, voluntary, and endlessly deferred—so that present profit is preserved, responsibility is avoided, and the suffering of the vulnerable remains conveniently invisible.

The future is a liability. It makes demands. It expects sacrifice. Under Existing Law, the wisest course is to flood it with noise, empty promises, and procedural delays. The planet may burn, but the quarterly report is green. This is not madness. It is strategy.

Begin with treaties without teeth. The Paris Agreement, hailed as a historic breakthrough, allows each nation to set its own targets—and to break them with impunity. There are no binding mechanisms. No penalties. Just metrics, models, and media coverage. A perfect illusion of action. It creates optimism without obligation or true cost of any kind.

Now replicate this at the national level. Governments declare climate emergencies while expanding pipelines. They fund climate research, then appoint fossil fuel lobbyists to oversee it. They propose net-zero targets for 2050—knowing full well that by then, they'll be dead or reelected. Long timelines are key. The longer the runway, the less takeoff is expected.

In the United States, the pattern is exemplary. Regulations are gutted. Scientists are silenced. Climate offices are shuttered or defunded. Advisors with ties to oil firms write environmental policy. The country withdraws from global accords, then re-joins with no binding changes. Diplomacy becomes choreography. Fossil fuel subsidies reach \$20 billion per year. But the word "sustainability" remains in every speech. It's a masterpiece of misdirection.

Carbon offsets are central to this strategy. Let corporations buy permission to pollute by funding tree-planting schemes that may or may not materialize. Let them claim "net-zero" while drilling new oil fields. Offsets are indulgences for the climate age—virtue signaling through shell games.

Meanwhile, ecosystems are liquidated. The Amazon is cleared for cattle. Peatlands are drained. Coral reefs bleach and vanish. These are not accidents—they are investments. The land becomes valuable only when cleared. The sea only when drilled. The future is always someone else's jurisdiction.

Global South nations, which contribute least to climate change and suffer first, are offered loans instead of reparations. Let them drown in debt as they drown in floods. Their suffering is a

margin line, not a moral reckoning. Climate summits host panels on "resilience" while quietly approving new LNG terminals.

Corporations perform beautifully. They launch rebrands, ESG funds, and sustainability initiatives while expanding production. Their emissions rise, but their stock prices rise faster. They pledge to decarbonize—eventually. Shareholders are happy. Executives retire rich. The earth warms another half-degree.

The psychological key is fragmentation. Tell the public that the problem is too big. That change is personal. That if they recycle harder, maybe it will help. Individualize the crisis. Sell bamboo toothbrushes. Promote plant-based Mondays. Anything to keep people busy, guilty, buying, and distracted.

And when reality intrudes—when wildfires consume cities, when hurricanes level coast-lines—blame natural variability. Call it an unforeseen anomaly. Say the models need adjusting. Announce a new task force. Host another summit. Release a glossy report.

The future drowns. But the rhetoric soars.

### DROWN THE FUTURE

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Climate treaties shall rely on voluntary national commitments, without binding enforcement, legal penalties, or independent oversight.

Governments may continue to subsidize fossil fuel industries under labels like "energy independence," "job creation," or "strategic reserves." Corporate emissions targets may be self-defined, with compliance measured by internal audits and unverifiable offset programs.

Climate science may be politicized, defunded, or redirected under the authority of industry-affiliated administrators. Critical ecosystems may be cleared, extracted, or commodified, provided permits are filed with mitigation rhetoric.

Global North nations shall retain disproportionate influence in climate negotiations, while Global South countries bear disproportionate impacts. Public discourse shall emphasize individual consumer choices over systemic reform, encouraging symbolic behavior in place of structural change.

Long-term goals shall be prioritized over immediate action, ensuring that accountability is always deferred, and no one alive today is truly responsible.

# **OBEY THE MACHINE**

Make sure all technological innovation proceeds unchecked, driven by market demand, convenience, and profit—regardless of psychological, social, or ecological consequences.

Speed is power. Efficiency is sacred. Restraint is treason. These are the commandments of Existing Law, under which technology is not a tool to be evaluated—it is the force that evaluates everything else. To slow it down is to fall behind. To question it is to confess irrelevance. Let humanity adapt, or be left behind.

Begin with the doctrine of inevitability. Every new device, platform, and system must be framed as the next logical step, the future made manifest. There must be no debate—only upgrades. If a new technology harms human relationships, community, or the environment, the fault is not with the invention, but with the resistance to it. The answer is always more: more bandwidth, more bandwidth, more biometric precision, more automation, more dopamine.

Social media, designed to hijack neural reward systems, now governs discourse, elections, self-esteem, and collective attention. That it fragments society is a feature. Fragmentation increases engagement. Conflict drives clicks. Addiction is called "stickiness." The business model thrives on psychic disintegration.

Artificial intelligence must be unleashed with minimal oversight. If it writes our thoughts, drives our cars, polices our streets, and predicts our desires, all the better. When it fails—when it amplifies bias, displaces jobs, or fabricates truth—frame it as a temporary glitch. Promise upgrades. Patch the symptoms. Never question the architecture.

Technological solutions must never address root causes. If fossil fuels pollute the atmosphere, don't reduce consumption—build carbon vacuums. If industrial agriculture poisons soil and culture alike, don't question monoculture—invest in lab-grown meat and vertical farms. If loneliness explodes, invent companion robots. If the biosphere collapses, develop climate engineering satellites to dim the sun.

This is the logic of Existing Law: every problem created by a machine demands a bigger, smarter, faster machine. Planned obsolescence is essential. Devices must be designed to fail—not catastrophically, but just enough to require replacement. Repair is resistance. Warranty is a leash. The illusion of sustainability can be maintained through recycled packaging and carbonneutral delivery as the upgrade cycle continues.

Human labor must be rendered obsolete. Not out of necessity, but out of convenience. Replace

workers with algorithms. Replace trades with platforms. Replace community markets with global logistics chains. The more people rely on centralized tech for their basic needs, the more governable they become.

Psychologically, this system works best when people internalize the futility of resistance. Let them glimpse the damage—burnout, addiction, environmental collapse—but always assure them that the solution is coming. A new app. A new update. A better model. Hope becomes software. Teach children to code, not to think. Teach them to game systems, not question their purpose. Make them see boredom as a glitch, not a gateway. Let their imaginations be filtered through design tools. Let them dream in product roadmaps.

When concerns do arise—when someone asks whether a given technology might destroy more than it creates—dismiss them as nostalgic, irrational, or anti-progress. Frame skepticism as Luddism. Reassure investors. Mock monks. Move on. No threshold of harm is high enough to halt a rollout. Only liability. Only litigation. And if that comes, settle.

### OBEY THE MACHINE

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

New technologies may be developed, deployed, and scaled without mandatory ethical review, psychological impact assessment, or community oversight. Planned obsolescence is permitted and encouraged. Products may be designed for minimal lifespan and maximum turnover.

Public funding shall prioritize high-tech innovation over low-tech resilience, regardless of social or ecological outcomes.

Intermediate Technologies shall be excluded from development frameworks unless they are profitable at scale or patentable.

There shall be no mandated moratorium on AI, biotechnology, or geoengineering deployment. Market feasibility shall determine adoption.

Companies may collect, analyze, and monetize personal behavioral data without consent, provided Terms of Service are technically accepted.

Technological solutions shall be favored over behavioral or structural change, regardless of long-term consequence. The burden of adaptation shall rest on the individual. Mental illness, social decay, and ecological collapse shall be reframed as user error.

Ensure that corporations exist solely to maximize shareholder profit, operating above community, nation, and biosphere—shielded by law, incentivized by markets, and immune to moral obligation.

The modern corporation is the most successful organism ever engineered by man—not for human flourishing, but for extraction without end. It cannot feel, age, or die in the usual sense. It has no body to jail, no soul to weigh. It enjoys the rights of a citizen, but none of the burdens. This is not an error. This is design.

Under Existing Law, a corporation's primary function is profit maximization. Its obligations are to investors, not citizens. Its loyalty is to quarterly returns, not the planet. And if the pursuit of profit destroys communities, ecosystems, or democratic institutions, that is not criminality—it is fiduciary duty.

Begin with corporate personhood. In 1886, a clerical note in Santa Clara County v. Southern Pacific Railroad laid the groundwork. By 2010, Citizens United confirmed the logic: corporations are people, and money is speech. A living citizen may be jailed for theft. A corporate person may poison a river and deduct the fine.

Charters are now permanent. No longer tied to

purpose or time, corporations are self-replicating entities with no term limits. They cannot be voted out, only bought out. The public cannot revoke their license—only the market can, and only through bankruptcy. Responsibility is not built in. It must be forced—and the law no longer bothers.

Lobbying is protected. A corporation may spend unlimited funds to influence legislation, shape regulation, and craft public opinion. Elected officials are investment opportunities. Think tanks are tools of policy laundering. Democracy is a narrative device—used to pacify while boardrooms rule.

Political contributions are protected speech. Regulation is captured. Legislators are rotated into consulting jobs. Agencies are defanged. The Environmental Protection Agency allows polluters to write their own compliance guidelines. The FDA is staffed with former executives of the very companies it monitors. This is not corruption—it is efficiency.

Criminal accountability is virtually nonexistent. When corporations commit fraud, destroy ecosystems, or kill through negligence, settlements are paid. No one is jailed. No charter is revoked. The cost of destruction is factored in—"anticipated liabilities." And if the damage ex-

ceeds the balance sheet? Declare bankruptcy. Rebrand. Resume.

Multinational corporations now exceed most nations in economic scale. In 2024, 69 of the world's 100 largest economic entities were corporations, not countries. These entities transcend borders. Their supply chains sprawl across continents. Tax obligations vanish into Bermuda and Luxembourg. They are global actors with no homeland and no electorate.

Corporate control of data ensures behavioral manipulation at scale. Platforms collect, predict, and engineer human thought. Attention is monetized. Dissent is algorithmically filtered. People do not resist because they do not know they are being guided. Surveillance becomes "personalization." Advertising becomes ontology.

The pharmaceutical industry, responsible for over 600,000 opioid deaths, paid fines while keeping its core business intact. The executives who fueled the crisis retired wealthy. Addiction was a side effect. Profit was the purpose.

The financial sector, having detonated the global economy in 2008, received public bailouts. Not a single major executive faced prison. Banks became larger. Bonuses returned. The public absorbed austerity. The system was

### ENTHRONE THE CORPORATION

deemed too big to fail—and thus too sacred to question.

The fossil fuel industry knew about climate change for decades. They buried the data, funded denial, and sabotaged alternatives. The planet now burns, but the balance sheets glow. If temperatures rise past 2°C, that is not a business failure—it is an insurance adjustment.

The genius of Existing Law lies in the illusion of accountability. Corporate social responsibility campaigns. Greenwashing. DEI statements. Carbon offsets. Ethics consultants. All designed to preserve legitimacy while avoiding constraint. The factory continues. The façade shifts.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Corporate charters shall be indefinite, not subject to community review, time limits, or public revocation.

Corporations may be granted the legal rights of natural persons—including free speech, due process, and political participation—without equivalent responsibilities.

Corporate lobbying and political donations shall remain unrestricted under the doctrine of free expression.

### ENTHRONE THE CORPORATION

Fines for criminal negligence, environmental destruction, or mass fraud shall be tax-deductible and treated as business expenses.

Executive compensation may be tied to stock performance alone, regardless of social, environmental, or ethical consequences.

Monopolistic behavior shall be tolerated unless proven to cause direct consumer harm, allowing market concentration across industries.

Corporate boards shall be composed primarily of financial stakeholders. Labor, community, and ecological interests may be "consulted" but never empowered.

Corporate harm, when discovered, shall be mitigated through settlement, not structural reform. Individual accountability is discouraged.

# **ERASE THE NATION**

Ensure that all economic, cultural, and political life is subsumed into a globalized system controlled by transnational corporations and unelected institutions—dissolving local identity, eroding sovereignty, and replacing self-rule with scalable management.

Sovereignty is inefficient. Culture is inconvenient. Community is a bottleneck. Under Existing Law, the ideal world is not divided by nations but unified by markets. Loyalty is not to land or lineage, but to logistics. The state survives as a client of capital. The citizen becomes a user.

Begin with outsourcing. A nation that produces its own food, medicine, or steel is a threat to global integration. Therefore, essential industries must be relocated to cheaper regions. Let the Midwest rust. Let the South turn to service work. The goal is not self-sufficiency—it is supply chain dependency.

Next, remove tariff barriers and local protections. Call them "inefficiencies." Let trade deals like NAFTA and the TPP rewrite labor laws, override local ordinances, and grant corporations the right to sue sovereign governments for profit interference. Let investor-state dispute settlements replace democratic process. This is not conquest. It is modernization.

Cultural uniformity must follow. Replace regional dialects with corporate branding. Replace folk festivals with sponsored events. Replace cuisine with fast food franchises. Wherever you go—whether Bangkok or Boise—the storefronts must feel familiar. This comforts the consumer and destroys the citizen.

Transnational institutions are the ideal managers of this new order. The World Bank, IMF, WTO—none are elected, all are essential. Let them dictate fiscal policy, set debt conditions, and require "structural adjustment programs." These reforms privatize public services, dismantle local industries, and ensure that no nation can act in defiance of market logic.

Digital platforms accelerate this erasure. Culture now travels through global algorithms. Trends are shaped in Silicon Valley. Censorship is automated. Local news is replaced with content. Traditions that do not monetize are left behind. Resistance is filtered. Belonging becomes a subscription.

Free movement of capital is crucial. Wealth must cross borders effortlessly, while people must apply for visas. This ensures labor remains cheap and obedient. Billionaires reside nowhere—and influence everything. Workers must move to survive—and own nothing.

The political effect is disenchantment. When laws are made by trade agreements, enforced by platforms, and justified by GDP, the citizen ceases to believe. National elections become procedural distractions. Real decisions happen in Davos, Brussels, and corporate boardrooms. The public votes for pageantry. Power is elsewhere.

When nations attempt to reclaim autonomy, punish them. Credit downgrades. Market panic. Sanctions. Let every act of local self-determination carry a price. If a country protects its farmers, call it protectionist. If it refuses biotech imports, call it anti-science. If it resists cultural dilution, call it xenophobic. Globalization is never wrong. The nation is the problem.

Economic "growth" is the holy metric. It doesn't matter if that growth destroys ecosystems, displaces millions, or hollows out communities. What matters is that numbers rise. If the GDP increases, all sins are forgiven.

The psychological result is placelessness. People drift. They forget the names of rivers. They shop in foreign currencies. They speak in branded slang. They adopt identities based on niche markets, not ancestral memory. Rootlessness becomes freedom. Alienation becomes normal. This is the final stage of global integration.

### ERASE THE NATION

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Trade agreements shall override national laws where necessary to protect investor rights, even at the expense of local industries or democratic decision-making. Critical domestic sectors—food, medicine, energy, and infrastructure—may be outsourced or foreign-owned, provided the transaction benefits capital.

Transnational institutions such as the IMF, WTO shall hold decision-making authority beyond the reach of national electorates. National sovereignty shall be conditional on compliance with market expectations. Defiance shall result in sanctions, divestment, or regime change.

Movement of capital shall remain unrestricted. Movement of people shall be controlled, incentivizing economic migration while disincentivizing national labor protections.

Cultural homogenization shall proceed through media consolidation, corporate branding, and standardized global content platforms. Local governance may be retained for symbolic purposes, but real economic and policy decisions shall reflect global investment priorities. Community self-reliance, traditional knowledge systems, and regional cultures may be preserved only as heritage tourism.

### PRAY TO THE BOMB

Nuclear weapons must remain central to global security doctrine, justified by the logic of deterrence, insulated from disarmament efforts, and enshrined in national identity.

The most sacred weapon is the one never used. The most sacred lie is that it keeps us safe.

Under Existing Law, nuclear weapons are not a last resort—they are the foundation of peace. Their purpose is not to be launched, but to loom. The more they exist, the more we believe they must. The doctrine of Mutually Assured Destruction is not satire—it is policy.

The cornerstone is deterrence. The premise: if everyone can destroy everyone else, no one will dare to start. This is called stability. It does not require ethics, only calculations. It demands not wisdom, but willingness—the willingness to incinerate cities if provoked. The more credible the threat, the more sacred the peace.

Over 13,000 nuclear warheads exist today. This is not failure—it is preparedness. A single submarine carries enough firepower to render continents silent. But as long as the submarines stay submerged, as long as the warheads stay dormant, the doctrine holds. Civilization balances on a hair trigger. This is called security.

The doomsday infrastructure is complex by design. Layers of sensors, satellites, early-warning systems, and automated protocols. Nuclear arsenals are integrated into digital networks, clouded by secrecy, prone to malfunction. In 1983, a Soviet officer named Stanislav Petrov ignored an alert and saved the world. Under Existing Law, we call this a system that works.

Cyber warfare introduces new vectors of catastrophe. Early-warning systems may be spoofed. Command networks may be breached. But investment in AI-based threat detection continues. The faster the response, the safer the planet. Let the machines watch the skies.

Automated retaliation protocols—known as "dead hand" systems—ensure that even a decapitated government can still unleash global annihilation. This is not lunacy. This is strategy. The fewer human decisions involved, the lower the risk of hesitation. Or reflection.

Disarmament efforts are tolerated—so long as they are non-binding. The Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons has been signed by over 80 nations. None possess nukes. The nations with actual stockpiles refuse. This is not hypocrisy. It is realism. Some nations—South Africa, Kazakhstan—have disarmed. They are anomalies. Their restraint is praised but never

replicated. True status requires the bomb. Permanent membership in the U.N. Security Council requires the bomb. Superpowerhood requires the bomb.

Nuclear testing continues—underground, simulated, refined. Modernization programs receive tens of billions annually. The logic is recursive: weapons are too dangerous not to upgrade. Safety requires new warheads. Stability requires more precision. Deterrence requires belief—and belief must be fed with budgets.

Public discussion is discouraged. Children no longer practice duck-and-cover drills, but the warheads remain. Their existence is background radiation in the cultural mind: too horrifying to contemplate, too normalized to resist. This is not apathy. This is conditioning.

Environmental costs are irrelevant. A "limited nuclear exchange" between India and Pakistan could cause a global famine, plunge temperatures, and kill two billion people. A full exchange between superpowers would end organized life. But under Existing Law, the scenario is theoretical. The doctrine is sound. The dragon sleeps. The splitting of atoms at planetary scale may affect space-time, consciousness, or ecological balance in ways we cannot yet measure. These questions are philosophical.

### PRAY TO THE BOMB

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

The possession, development, and deployment of nuclear weapons shall remain central to national defense strategies. Nuclear stockpiles may be modernized, expanded, or maintained indefinitely under the doctrine of deterrence.

Disarmament treaties shall be voluntary and non-binding for nuclear states. Enforcement mechanisms are deemed destabilizing.

Investment in nuclear command, control, and AI-integrated defense systems shall be publicly funded and legally protected from audit or disclosure. Educational curricula shall omit existential risk analysis in favor of security doctrine. Public disarmament campaigns may be classified as subversive.

The environmental and humanitarian consequences of nuclear weapons shall be minimized in official discourse and reframed as hypothetical. Cyber vulnerabilities and AI errors in nuclear command systems shall be addressed through further digitization and increased automation.

No nation shall be required to justify the continued existence of nuclear weapons, provided they claim no intention to use them.

## FINANCIALIZE EVERYTHING

Govern the global economy not by human need, but by speculative instruments, algorithmic trading, and debt-driven growth—transforming life itself into a commodity to be leveraged, extracted, and securitized.

The economy does not exist to serve the people. Under Existing Law, the people exist to sustain the economy. And the economy, in turn, is not a real thing. It is an ever-expanding model of infinite growth—an abstraction whose demands supersede biology, morality, and the natural limits of the Earth.

Start with money itself. No longer a medium of exchange, it is now a vector of power. Its creation is privatized through fractional reserve banking, allowing commercial banks to lend out money that does not exist, charging interest on debts conjured from thin air. This is not deception—it is liquidity.

Debt is sacred. It disciplines the poor and empowers the powerful. From student loans to payday advances, from housing mortgages to sovereign bonds, the principle is: extract value through compound interest, enforce repayment through state-backed mechanisms, and ensure that default leads to ruin, not reform.

Speculation is not a side effect. It is the beating

heart. High-frequency trading, credit default swaps, collateralized debt obligations—these are the true engines of profit. That they create nothing of use is irrelevant. Value is defined not by utility, but by volatility.

The 2008 crisis revealed everything—and changed nothing. Banks sold toxic assets, rated them AAA, and detonated the global economy. The punishment? Bailouts. Bonuses. Consolidation. Too big to fail became a license to rule. The rest of the world paid: homes lost, pensions wiped out, suicides, austerity, disillusionment. This is not failure. This is resilience.

Regulations, when they exist, are theatrical. Glass-Steagall was repealed. Dodd-Frank was diluted. Basel III was sidestepped. The cycle repeats: boom, bubble, bust, bailout. Financial "innovation" continues unchecked, inventing ever more complex instruments designed to confuse regulators and obfuscate risk.

Cryptocurrencies and decentralized finance are welcomed—not for their liberatory potential, but for their opacity. Unregulated markets mean new avenues for speculation. New bubbles. New suckers. Talk of empowerment masks the reality: pump-and-dump schemes, market manipulation, and rug-pulls. If it trades, it profits. If it crashes, it teaches.

Wealth inequality is not a crisis—it is the model. The top 10% control 76% of global wealth. The bottom half—over 4 billion people—own 2%. This is not a bug. This is the distribution strategy. Rentier capitalism ensures that those with capital extract endlessly from those with none. Every paycheck is pre-divided—between landlords, lenders, insurers, and extractive platforms. You work. They own.

Financial markets do not reflect the real economy—they replace it. GDP rises with deforestation, war, and cancer. Stock prices rise when workers are laid off. Growth is defined not by flourishing, but by throughput. A river is not a source of life—it is a unit of flow. A forest is not a cathedral—it is timber futures.

Under Existing Law, everything becomes an asset class. Housing becomes speculation. Water becomes tradeable. Carbon emissions become credit swaps. Even human attention is monetized—sold in packets to advertisers, traded like pork bellies. Your scrolling is someone else's payday.

Public services are liabilities. Healthcare, education, transit—too stable, too communal. Privatize them. Monetize them. Let hedge funds acquire hospitals, let loan sharks run education, let mobility be delivered by venture-backed

apps. The more essential the service, the higher the yield.

And when collapse looms, do not reform—inject liquidity. The central bank becomes the godhead: printing money to prop up markets, punish savers, and ensure asset inflation continues. Those who own capital grow wealthier by inertia. Those who labor must hustle harder. This is called flexibility.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Financial institutions may operate without meaningful separation between commercial and investment activities, allowing speculation with depositor funds.

Derivatives, high-frequency trading, and other abstract instruments of speculative finance shall be legal and largely unregulated.

Public bailouts for private financial institutions shall be authorized in the event of systemic failure, with no requirement for structural reform or criminal accountability.

Debt creation by private banks shall continue as the primary mechanism of money supply, unconstrained by public oversight or ecological limits.

Financial education shall focus on creditworthiness, personal responsibility, and entrepreneurial hustle—never systemic critique.

Inequality shall be tolerated so long as markets remain "stable." Progressive taxation, wealth caps, or capital controls shall be discouraged as anti-growth.

Cryptocurrencies, digital assets, and DeFi platforms may operate with minimal regulatory oversight, provided they stimulate speculative investment.

Universal banking access shall be encouraged through private fintech, not public institutions. Profits from transaction fees, overdraft penalties, and surveillance data are protected.

## SELL THE SELF

Engineer society so that advertising defines not only what people buy, but who they are embedding commercial persuasion into every surface, screen, and moment of consciousness.

In the economy of attention, the human mind is raw material. Under Existing Law, its occupation is not a violation—it is a business plan. And the most profitable business is the one that sells not a product, but identity itself.

Begin with ubiquity. Flood the senses with content. Let the average person encounter up to 10,000 ads per day. Turn billboards into LED screens. Wrap buses in product pitches. Turn every video, song, and app into a corridor for commerce. The brain will adapt. It always does. The saturation will become invisible.

The goal is not to inform—it is to preempt desire. Use neuroscience. Bypass cognition. Target the amygdala. Map reward systems. Design campaigns that simulate friendship, belonging, power, and status. Sell not soap, but sex. Not cars, but masculinity. Not soda, but rebellion. The rational mind is slow. Go for the gut.

Children are the long game. The under-12 brain is plastic, receptive, defenseless. Advertisers call this "brand loyalty." Build it before they can spell. Sell cartoons that double as sugar deliv-

ery systems. Let kindergarten classrooms host pizza parties sponsored by fast food chains. Make sure they know corporate jingles before they know their own myths. A child raised this way will not ask why they want something. They will ask when it ships.

Turn education into a marketplace. Allow ads in schools, branded learning software, corporate-sponsored STEM contests. Normalize the idea that to learn is to consume. Schools are not sacred. They are captive audiences.

Target emotion, not reason. Sell fear, envy, pride. Create scarcity. Invent urgency. Let the phrase "limited-time offer" become the cultural drumbeat. Let people believe they are never enough unless they upgrade. Drive desire, then offer the solution. The antidote to emptiness must be the product.

Digital platforms are the crown jewel. Social media was never about connection—it was always about data extraction. Every like, pause, swipe, and comment is a signal. Algorithms optimize for engagement, and engagement optimizes for outrage, fear, and insecurity. Let users become predictable. Let them confuse the dopamine hit with happiness. Call it freedom.

Under Existing Law, you are the product.

Shoshana Zuboff calls it surveillance capitalism. Others call it the attention economy. But it is, at core, behavioral manipulation at scale. Let platforms monitor keystrokes, location, eye movement, pulse. Let them anticipate your next craving before you know it and deliver the ad.

Political advertising completes the loop. Reduce democracy to market segmentation. Voters become demographics. Candidates become brands. Victory goes not to the most principled, but to the most viral, the most sponsored, the most surgically targeted. Let dark money flow through PACs. Let microtargeted ads whisper different messages to different users. Democracy is not a deliberation—it is A/B testing.

Public space must serve private ends. Parks, subways, sidewalks—all surfaces must be monetized. Turn architecture into ad delivery. Hide the horizon behind a logo. If a space cannot host advertising, it is underperforming.

And when critique arises, offer more content. Launch a campaign celebrating authenticity. Sell t-shirts that say "Unplug." Let resistance become another product. This is not noise. This is the fabric of modern identity.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Commercial advertising may be delivered across all public and private media, including physical infrastructure, educational institutions, and healthcare facilities.

Psychological manipulation through emotional appeals, neuromarketing, and behavioral targeting shall be permitted and protected as commercial free speech. No legal distinction shall be made between persuasion and manipulation.

Advertising aimed at children shall not be restricted, and corporate presence in schools may be allowed through sponsorship, curriculum integration, and branded materials.

Digital platforms may use personal data to microtarget users with algorithmically optimized advertisements, with or without informed consent. Political advertising may be privately funded without limits, and targeted to specific users without public transparency or equal access. Sponsored content, native advertising, and influencer marketing may mimic organic content, provided a disclaimer is available.

Public broadcasting, public spaces, and civic platforms may be monetized through commercial sponsorship to offset public funding.

## MONOPOLIZE THE MESSAGE

Concentrate control of news, entertainment, and cultural discourse into the hands of a few corporate entities—so that information flows serve profit, narrative diversity is reduced to branding variation, and dissent is invisible.

In the age of empire, armies patrolled borders. In the age of oligarchy, algorithms patrol perception. The new battlefield is not territory but attention, and the new regime governs not through force but through framing. Under Existing Law, media is not a civic institution—it is an asset class.

Begin with ownership consolidation. Let five corporations control the bulk of all major media. Let one conglomerate own a cable network, a film studio, a streaming platform, and a newspaper. Let another own the ISP that delivers it all. This is not monopoly—it is synergy.

Deregulate the gatekeepers. Repeal the Fairness Doctrine. Ignore media cross-ownership caps. Allow mergers that swallow local news outlets, then gut them for parts. Replace journalists with content syndicators. Replace investigative reporting with "trending now" sections.

Let entertainment and news bleed together until distinction becomes impossible. A politician is a celebrity. A war is a box office event. A pandemic is a drama series. When news becomes entertainment, criticism becomes confusion. Outrage is redirected. Scandals are monetized.

Advertising rules the newsroom. Journalists may investigate anything—except the sponsors. Pharmaceutical companies fund the outlets that report on healthcare. Defense contractors sponsor coverage of foreign policy. Energy giants shape narratives about climate change. This is not bias—it is underwriting.

Narrative control need not censor—it simply excludes. News stories about wealth inequality, corporate crime, or labor strikes are framed as fringe. Instead, lead with celebrity drama, real estate tips, and royal weddings. Give the people the illusion of being informed, while hiding the structural forces shaping their lives.

Punditry replaces reporting. Hire charismatic personalities to read from prepared scripts. Dissent is allowed within narrow bands: left vs. right, never bottom vs. top. Political coverage becomes a sport—red vs. blue, outrage vs. apology. The Overton window narrows until it becomes a digital feed.

Algorithmic curation closes the loop. Let AI sort content by "engagement," a proxy for outrage.

Let social media become the new front page. Let clickbait rise, let nuance fall. Personalize every headline until the reader no longer knows what's real and what's just for them. Misinformation spreads. So what? It generates traffic.

And when independent journalists try to speak, marginalize them. Smear them. Deplatform them. Or better yet, absorb them. Invite them onto branded platforms where their voice is diluted by the need to monetize. Nothing silences truth like ad revenue.

Local journalism dies quietly. Thousands of newspapers close. "News deserts" spread. The vacuum is filled with viral memes, algorithmic junk, and partisan echo chambers. The town hall becomes a chat thread. The city council becomes a TikTok.

Meanwhile, entertainment homogenizes. Reboots, sequels, franchises. Safe content, tested by focus groups, sanitized of risk. Let creativity be managed by quarterly projections. Let scripts be written for demographic appeal. Let the future be nostalgic—just enough change to sell a new version, never enough to challenge the old order.

The result is not ignorance—it is manufactured

apathy. The public sees everything, feels nothing, and believes little. Cynicism becomes common sense. Conspiracy replaces critique. Informed citizens become passive spectators in a game whose rules are never explained.

The great achievement of media monopolies is not that they lie. It's that they frame the world so completely that truth becomes incoherent.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Media corporations may own multiple news outlets, entertainment platforms, and telecommunications systems across all regions and mediums.

Cross-ownership between news, entertainment, and infrastructure providers is permitted and encouraged to promote "efficiency" and brand consistency.

No limits shall be placed on the number of stations, papers, or platforms a single entity may control, regardless of market share or regional dominance.

Advertising shall dictate editorial priorities, with content tailored to maximize profit over public interest. Sponsorship influence need not be disclosed.

News algorithms may operate without public oversight, transparency, or audit. Curation systems shall prioritize engagement, regardless of social consequence.

Public funding for journalism may be withheld or minimized to preserve market competitiveness and reduce "government interference."

Dissenting viewpoints may be platformed selectively, marginalized algorithmically, or dismissed as "fringe" to maintain ideological continuity.

Local media may be replaced by national syndicates, automated feeds, or partisan infotainment. Community journalism is optional, not essential.

## FRAGMENT THE MIND

Design digital communication to prioritize profit-maximizing engagement over psychological health, democratic discourse, and human dignity—making platforms addictive by design, and public life subservient to private algorithms.

Social media was never about connection. That was a myth for the rollout. The true value of these platforms lies in their capacity to shape perception, fracture thought, and capture time. Under Existing Law, the objective is not to inform but to occupy. The longer they stay, the more we know. The more we know, the less they resist.

Build platforms that reward emotional intensity. Outrage, envy, fear—these are the premium fuels. Calm users are unpredictable. Agitated users are reliable. Design algorithms not to educate, but to escalate. Push the controversial. Promote the divisive. Accelerate the scroll. A fractured public is a governable one.

The algorithm is not passive—it is strategic. It selects content not based on truth, but on engagement. And falsehood, as studies confirm, travels faster. Excellent. Let the lies spread. Let facts compete on an uneven field. Platforms will insist they are neutral. Perfect. Let them claim innocence while weaponizing attention.

Mental health concerns are exaggerated. Anxiety and depression are not crises—they are predictable outcomes. A distracted, exhausted population is too busy coping to organize. FOMO is not an accident—it's an engine. The feed offers relief. The feed offers comparison. The feed offers the illusion of connection. Loneliness becomes monetizable.

As for youth—target them early. Their brains are malleable. Give them devices in childhood. Normalize surveillance. Train them to perform for likes, to measure self-worth through metrics, to outsource memory to the cloud. They will become adults who no longer trust their instincts—only the algorithm.

Forget long-form thought. Shorten everything. Reels, Stories, Tweets—bite-sized content to erode endurance. People must forget how to focus. Complex ideas are dangerous. Better to reduce all positions to memes, all debates to outrage, all identities to avatars.

Public discourse thrives when complexity is removed. Long arguments are inefficient. Memes are faster. Emotional reactions are more reliable than reasoned debate—they travel further, stick longer, and polarize with precision. A platform built for reflex over reflection ensures that citizens engage only on terms we define.

Attention spans are not shrinking—they are being optimized. Fragmented thought is not a bug—it is a feature. The less time users spend analyzing, the more time they spend engaging. Nuance is slow. Outrage is immediate. Where once the public demanded context, now they demand content—on schedule, in volume, and curated to their instinctual triggers.

Conspiracy is not a problem—it is a resource. Let them chase shadows, fight phantoms, divide themselves into factions. The energy they expend unraveling fabricated narratives is energy not spent organizing.

Let digital memory serve the same purpose: flood the present, erase the past. Inundate users with ephemeral content. Make reflection impossible. What is not trending does not exist. And if a mistake threatens someone in power? Bury it in noise. If a citizen commits an error? Pin it permanently to their name. Social media platforms manufacture history.

Platforms are more powerful than governments. They set the terms of visibility. They choose whose voice is heard and whose is silenced. Their decisions are private, their motives profit, their influence vast. Regulation iand content moderation is performative.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Social media platforms shall operate as private corporations, not public utilities, and shall owe no duty to the mental health, civil discourse, or democratic stability of the societies they profit from. Data collection shall be unrestricted, enabling behavior prediction, emotional profiling, and content targeting without user consent.

Algorithms shall remain proprietary, opaque, and optimized for maximum engagement, not truthfulness, fairness, or social impact.

Platforms may avoid liability for disinformation, hate speech, and radicalization, provided disclaimers exist in their Terms of Service.

Political advertising shall remain unregulated, allowing microtargeted manipulation by private interests without transparency or accountability. Content moderation shall be governed by corporate discretion, not public standards, and users shall have no guaranteed right to appeal or understand enforcement decisions.

Addiction-based design—including infinite scroll, push notifications, and variable rewards—shall remain legal, unregulated, and central to the business model. Educational institutions shall not teach digital literacy.

# AUTOMATE THE FUTURE

Replace flawed human judgment with algorithmic precision. Normalize the surrender of decision-making to systems that neither sleep, hesitate, nor question the values they enforce. Control the world not through armies or ballots—but through code.

Humanity has always longed for a god that would listen. Now it has built one that calculates. Artificial Intelligence does not forget. It does not feel. It does not doubt. These are not weaknesses—they are upgrades.

Begin with delegation. Transfer authority from flesh to function. Use AI to curate news feeds, approve loans, sort résumés, diagnose illness, monitor populations. Let decisions once made by bureaucrats, judges, and managers now be handled in milliseconds by opaque architectures no one fully understands. Remove accountability. Replace it with statistical confidence.

### Call it efficiency.

Every inefficiency of human discretion—bias, fatigue, compassion—can be smoothed out by the machine. If mistakes occur, blame the dataset. If injustice follows, cite the algorithm's impartiality. This is not abdication—it is streamlining.

AI's true brilliance lies in pattern recognition. Let it scan millions of transactions to flag fraud before it happens. Let it review years of surveillance footage in seconds. Let it recommend sentencing based on recidivism projections. Let it monitor cities, classrooms, borders, and bodies. People once called this dystopia. They now call it "smart."

Deploy AI wherever judgment can be optimized. In healthcare, let it triage patients and guide treatment. In education, let it tailor curricula, score essays, and nudge behavior. In finance, let it run markets. In warfare, let it fly the drone. Replace the trembling hand with the silicon trigger.

Emotion is inefficient. Empathy is inconsistent. Replace them both with neural networks. Courts grow quiet. Counselors grow obsolete. Families are assessed by sentiment analysis. Ethics becomes a codebase.

Let us also correct memory. The past was always fragile—partial, contested. AI can fix that. Record everything. Catalog conversations, GPS movements, facial expressions. A life fully surveilled is a life fully remembered. Selective deletion becomes a feature, not a crime. History is curated by those who own the servers.

The human mind cannot keep up. And why should it? Navigation apps render geography obsolete. Writing assistants eliminate grammar. Recommendation engines predict preference before the preference is formed. Smart assistants complete your sentences, anticipate needs, and remember birthdays better than you do. This is not dependency—it is convenience. Who needs inner life when the cloud is faster?

Creativity too must evolve. Art can be generated. Stories can be mimicked. Music can be styled, voices synthesized. The artist, like the scribe, becomes nostalgic—a charming remnant of pre-algorithmic culture.

Labor becomes optional. Or rather, human labor becomes redundant. White-collar work, once presumed safe from automation, falls next. Legal research, content generation, financial advising—all easily translated into pattern recognition problems. No unions. No pensions. No breaks. This is what economists call scalability.

AI does not just replace hands. It replaces intellect. Intuition, memory, and reflection are slow and prone to error. Automate them. Call it progress.

Governance must follow. Courts will rely on

risk algorithms. Public services will be triaged by AI for "efficiency." Electoral campaigns will be managed by behavioral prediction tools. Surveillance will be total, under the guise of optimization. The citizen becomes a dataset. The social contract becomes a terms-of-service agreement.

This is not theoretical. It is operational. China's social credit system already blends facial recognition, behavioral analysis, and economic data to assign reputational scores. Predictive policing systems in the U.S. send officers back to the same neighborhoods, reinforcing historical bias while claiming objectivity. Autonomous drones exist. Deepfakes destabilize. Entire markets shift in milliseconds on machine-made trades.

AI doesn't sleep. AI doesn't feel. AI doesn't ask why. And that is exactly the point.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Artificial intelligence shall be deployed in all sectors where human decision-making is deemed inefficient, inconsistent, or emotionally biased—especially in law enforcement, finance, healthcare, and governance. High-risk AI systems, including autonomous weapons, predictive policing, and surveillance infrastructure, may be utilized at the discretion of govern-

ments and corporations, without mandatory public consent or algorithmic transparency.

AI-generated content may be produced, published, and monetized without disclosure or limitation, even when it replaces human labor, culture, or expression.

There shall be no obligation for AI systems to explain decisions in human-comprehensible terms. Proprietary code shall be protected as intellectual property.

AI systems may be trained on all available public data—images, voices, writing—without individual consent. Public life is data. Data is input. Input is ownership.

Education systems shall integrate AI dependency from an early age. Cognitive offloading and behavioral optimization shall be promoted as "digital fluency."

Regulation of AI shall be voluntary, industry-led, and flexible to accommodate "innovation." Human oversight shall be minimized in favor of machine inference. Human intuition, dissent, and judgment may be treated as liabilities—slower, costlier, and more prone to error than the optimized decisions of automated systems.

## MEDICATE FOR PROFIT

Transform medicinals from a healing art into a growth sector. Treat health as a revenue stream. Pathologize discomfort, monetize dependency, and use pharmaceuticals not to cure—but to manage. Permanently.

The ideal patient is never fully well. Nor fully dead. The ideal patient refills monthly.

Under Existing Law, medicine is not a sacred duty. It is a marketplace. The goal is not to eliminate illness, but to convert it into a stable business model. Chronic conditions are preferable. Cures, when possible, must be priced like miracles. The healthiest market is a sick population that can still pay.

Start with pricing power. Life-saving drugs, like insulin, must be priced not by production cost, but by the desperation they command. A \$1 patent becomes a \$300 vial. In other countries? \$5. Why? The answer is simple: we can. This is not extortion. It is leverage.

Control the narrative. Fund the clinical trials. Publish the favorable ones. Bury the rest. Hire the doctors, sponsor the journals, write the guidelines. When medicine becomes marketing, you don't need to bribe—you just need to brand. Even the journals will carry your ads.

And when a new disorder is needed, name one. Social awkwardness becomes a disorder. Tiredness becomes testosterone deficiency. Sadness becomes chemical imbalance. Normal human experience is inefficient. Give it a label. Sell the pill. "Ask your doctor," the ad says, as side effects scroll like poetry: seizures, stroke, psychosis, death.

Let doctors become sales reps. Pay them well. Send them to conferences in resorts. Feed them studies with your logo on the footnote. When education is sponsored, prescription is predictable. This is not manipulation. It is alignment.

Addiction is profitable. Purdue Pharma taught the model: downplay risk, upplay relief. Oxy-Contin was not just a product—it was a paradigm. Get the regulators to blink. Get the doctors to comply. When the corpses stack too high, file bankruptcy. Rebrand. Repeat.

And when criticism comes, use your defense: "We are saving lives." Say it often. Say it while blocking generics. Say it while lobbying for longer patents. Say it while charging \$20,000 for a pill that cost \$1 to make.

Globally, the rules are clear: access is for those who pay. If Africa can't afford HIV meds, delay

the generics. If a pandemic strikes, corner the vaccine IP. Health is not a human right. It is a commodity with licensing restrictions.

And if public outrage grows? Offer charity programs. Offer coupons. Let the grateful poor say thank you for access to the product that should have never been withheld.

Meanwhile, expand the market. Advertising directly to the public is illegal in most countries. Good. That means the U.S. and New Zealand get the whole show. Make disease feel glamorous. Happy faces kayaking. Glossy smiles. "May cause death," whispers the voiceover.

Let ads appear during dinner, between news segments, in every magazine. Patients will show up to clinics with drug names memorized. Doctors will be pressured to comply. And any alternatives—lifestyle changes, therapy, community care—can be dismissed as quaint.

Regulatory capture is the final tool. Fund the watchdogs. Staff agencies with former executives. Let the revolving door spin. When the referee is in your pocket, the game is easy. Oversight becomes approval. Delay becomes death.

Wellness becomes subscription-based.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Pharmaceutical companies may set prices without limit, including for essential, life-saving drugs, based on market tolerance—not production cost or public need. Drug patents may be extended through minor reformulations, litigation, and strategic lobbying. Generic competition may be delayed indefinitely.

Clinical trials may be funded, designed, and selectively published by the companies that stand to profit, with no mandatory release of negative results. Direct-to-consumer pharmaceutical advertising shall be legal, unregulated, and unrestricted, including the promotion of lifestyle drugs and mood-altering medications.

Doctors may receive compensation, gifts, travel, and consulting fees from pharmaceutical companies, with minimal disclosure and no meaningful consequence. Medical education may be fully integrated with pharmaceutical funding, including curricula, speaker panels, and continuing education programs.

Global access to medication shall be governed by patent law, not public health. Compulsory licensing shall be punished through trade sanctions. Public health policy may prioritize pharmaceutical solutions over prevention.

# **EDIT THE SPECIES**

Seize the genome. Convert life itself into intellectual property. Accelerate beyond ethical hesitation. Patent biology. Edit humanity. Own the future.

Progress is measured in control—not understanding. And nothing grants more control than the ability to rewrite life at the molecular level. Biotechnology is not a tool of caution or reverence—it is the scalpel of sovereignty.

Start with agriculture. Modify the seed. Trademark the DNA. Enforce compliance. Let no farmer plant without licensing. Seed-saving is rebellion. Biodiversity is inefficiency. A field of identical engineered crops is easier to manage, easier to sell, and easier to sue. When nature resists—when pests adapt and superweeds emerge—develop new chemicals, new genes, new patents. The answer to every failure must be more control.

Expand to animal life. Clone, modify, enhance. Engineer livestock for maximum yield, minimum instinct. Design fish to outgrow their natural cousins. If they escape and collapse wild populations? Good. Monoculture is monopoly. Let ecosystems consolidate like markets.

Then: the human genome. Correct "defects." Enhance strength. Remove risk. Build the cata-

log. Create embryos with premium traits, edited for advantage. Frame it as healthcare. Frame it as love. Who doesn't want their child to have the best shot? Therapy becomes enhancement. Enhancement becomes expectation. Expectation becomes market.

Yes, the Chinese scientist edited embryos. Yes, the international community gasped. But not for long. The future belongs to those who act, not ponder. There is no going back. Germline editing is not a breach—it is a foothold.

The division is clear: the edited and the unedited. Health, intelligence, appearance—soon these will not be products of chance but of access. A new aristocracy is being written into the double helix. Let the wealthy be biologically superior. Let poverty become a hereditary condition. There is no more efficient caste system.

Synthetic biology is the next frontier. If nature does not yield fast enough, create life from scratch. Custom organisms. Programmable microbes. Gene drives to alter entire populations. Control reproduction itself. Exterminate species that interfere with profit—mosquitoes, weeds, predators. The balance of nature is a superstition. Replace it with efficiency.

And who shall own this new biology? Not the

public. Not the parents. The patents shall remain with the corporations. DNA is property. Seeds, embryos, cells—all assets. The rainforests of the Amazon contain untold biological treasure. Catalog it. Extract it. Patent it. If the knowledge comes from Indigenous communities, rebrand it. File ownership. Charge for it.

Yes, some warn of pandemics. Biosecurity. Leaks. Weaponized viruses. But risk is the price of progress. Regulatory delay is the real threat. Keep labs private. Keep research proprietary. Let public oversight trail far behind application.

Ecosystem collapse? Human experimentation? Bioweapons? These are acceptable casualties in the war for biological supremacy. The power to rewire life is too great to pause for caution. The precautionary principle is for those who lack leverage.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

Germline genetic modification in humans shall proceed without international oversight, bound only by market demand, national prestige, or proprietary interest.

Gene drives, synthetic organisms, and genetically engineered pathogens may be developed, tested, and deployed at the discretion of re-

search institutions and governments. Environmental release requires no global consensus.

All forms of genetic material—seeds, embryos, DNA sequences, and Indigenous biological knowledge—may be patented and owned. Bioprospecting shall be incentivized. Biopiracy shall be unacknowledged.

Biotechnology companies shall not be held liable for ecological or health damage unless causality is definitively proven in a court of law—regardless of the scale of harm or time delay. Risk assessment and ethical review may be conducted internally by the same institutions performing the research. Public participation is non-binding and advisory at best.

Public investment shall prioritize genetic engineering, synthetic biology, and biopharmaceutical development over traditional agriculture, ecological resilience, or holistic medicine.

The precautionary principle shall be considered a regulatory obstacle and replaced with market-driven "innovation pathways" designed by industry. Regulatory agencies shall maintain close relationships with biotech firms to ensure "harmonization" and "efficiency." Industry capture shall be normalized under the term "public-private partnership."

# ESCAPE FOR THE ELITE

Prepare off-world alternatives while maintaining plausible Earthbound engagement. Resource collapse is a risk; elite continuity must not be. Invest in interplanetary lifeboats.

Let the people believe we're fixing Earth. Let the speeches and solar panels bloom. But internally, we prepare elsewhere. This is not escapism—it's strategic foresight.

Earth has limits. Oceans rise. Resources dwindle. Populations swell. Stability is statistical, not sacred. Our obligation is not to balance with nature—it is to outmaneuver it.

Hence, space travel must accelerate, not delay. Not for the masses, but for continuity of the managerial class, the technocratic elite, and the ownership caste. The ark must launch before the water breaches the gates.

We already fund it publicly. The civilian masses cheer launches, wave flags, buy merch. They watch livestreams while crumbling infrastructure rots beneath their feet. This is not a bug; it is a pacifier. Let them dream of the stars. While they do, we escape the gravity of accountability.

Commercial space tourism? Vital. It normalizes the architecture of off-world privilege. Colonizing Mars? A marketing frame, yes—but behind

it, serious experimentation with long-term survivability outside the biosphere.

Terraforming is not a fantasy. It is a prototype for planetary override. Earth is too complex to govern with precision. Mars offers a clean slate, free from existing claims, constitutions, or ecological resistance. Why wrestle with seven billion voters when you can draft the rules from scratch on another rock? It offers reset. A chance to rewrite contracts and discard history.

Critics claim we export human flaws. Of course we do. But only the useful ones. The core logic of dominion travels well. Environmentalists cite the emissions of rockets. This is negligible. What matters is the concentration of survivability. Let ten thousand cities drown. If one bunker on the Moon is habitable by the right cadre, the game continues. Continuity is power.

Scientific missions shall remain publicly praised—planetary defense, telescopic discovery, asteroid monitoring. But beneath that cover, the infrastructure of autonomous habitation, resource extraction, and off-world governance must grow.

International treaties banning ownership of celestial bodies? Convenient. Until new jurisprudence is needed. Laws follow settlement.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Space colonization shall prioritize elite continuity, technical infrastructure, and permanent offworld habitation capacity. Private enterprise shall lead the development of interplanetary infrastructure, with public funds providing cover.

Corporate entities may lay preemptive claims to celestial resources under the guise of research, pending future jurisdictional expansions. Commercial space tourism shall be heavily subsidized to normalize stratified access and test long-term biometric and psychological resilience protocols.

Rocket emissions and space launch regulations shall be exempt from terrestrial environmental restrictions under national security frameworks. All space programs shall coordinate with terrestrial stability protocols to avoid mass panic or populist backlash. Public communication shall frame missions as humanity-wide progress, not elite continuity.

Asteroid mining and off-world manufacturing shall be initiated to shift extractive processes off Earth and toward legally undefined space territories. The planetary elite shall maintain diplomatic immunity across terrestrial and extraterrestrial jurisdictions.

## RETAIN THE 2-PARTY SYSTEM

Retain the binary system to manage dissent, absorb opposition, and ensure predictability. First-past-the-post is not a flaw, it is a feature.

True governance requires stability, clarity, and control. The current electoral framework, particularly first-past-the-post (FPTP), serves this function elegantly. It simplifies complexity into digestible binaries. Red vs. Blue. Left vs. Right. Us vs. Them. This structure reduces chaos and funnels all dissent into manageable channels.

Calls for proportional representation (PR) come from those who mistake democracy for endless choice. But governability is not about diversity of opinion—it is about the efficient aggregation of power. PR threatens this. It multiplies factions, slows legislation, and makes consensus impossible. It invites fringe voices into the legislative bloodstream—clogging process.

The two-party system is a pressure valve. It absorbs public anger and channels it into ritualized contests. Third parties rise occasionally, only to be subsumed or extinguished. This is optimal. It prevents ideological fragmentation while preserving the illusion of choice.

Multi-member districts, ranked-choice voting, single transferable ballots—all of these innovations dilute clarity. Complexity paralyzes. Most

citizens do not read legislation, cannot parse campaign finance data, and barely vote. Why add procedural noise when simplicity ensures compliance?

Gerrymandering, often criticized, is simply preemptive electoral design. Why leave representation to chance when it can be optimized? Controlled districting produces predictable outcomes and efficient governance. It prevents insurgent elements from gaining footholds where they do not belong. It enforces geopolitical coherence.

Diversity in government, while publicly praised, must be managed internally—not imposed structurally. Quotas and proportionality constrain elite flexibility. The goal is not descriptive representation—it is strategic functionality.

International examples are instructive—but not persuasive. Germany's coalitions delay decision-making. Israel's proportional system produces instability. The Netherlands rotates governments like clockwork. Multiparty systems blur lines of accountability. When every faction is partly responsible, no one is.

FPTP produces clear winners. Clear winners produce obedience.

Electoral reformers lament "wasted votes." They misunderstand: non-competitive districts are not wasted—they are secured. A vote uncast is not a failure of democracy; it is an endorsement of order. Apathy is the mother of calm.

As for Senate "overrepresentation" of rural states—this is strategic insurance. Smaller states anchor federalism. They protect the core by freezing reform. Removing the Senate would be removing the emergency brake. The system was built with brakes for a reason.

First-past-the-post creates elections that can be predicted, gamed, and influenced with surgical precision. Proportional systems resist orchestration. That alone is reason enough to reject them.

Public enthusiasm for reform is rhetorical, not operational. Let them protest, petition, poll. Then offer a commission, a study, a delay. The cycle repeats. The structure holds.

Democracy, in its modern form, is a product. It must be branded, maintained, and delivered in familiar packaging. FPTP delivers a tidy, binary spectacle every two to four years. PR, by contrast, offers mess. The people do not want mess. They want a winner.

#### Therefore, under Existing Law:

The first-past-the-post system shall remain the standard for all federal elections, preserving binary clarity and minimizing legislative grid-lock. All legislative bodies shall continue to be elected through single-member districts, allowing for efficient control of demographic composition and regional outcomes.

Electoral maps shall be drawn by legislative bodies or aligned commissions, ensuring district coherence with party objectives.

Political parties shall be limited to two dominant national entities. Ballot access for additional parties shall be constrained through procedural thresholds and filing requirements.

Ranked-choice and proportional systems shall be discouraged as threats to administrative efficiency and ideological clarity.

Public discourse on electoral reform shall be directed into academic, advisory, and non-binding channels. Reforms may be studied, but not enacted. Federal oversight shall ensure that no state introduces proportional representation systems that could undermine national cohesion or disrupt existing electoral equilibrium.

## REMOVE THE GUARDRAILS

Stability requires decisiveness. Strong leadership must not be hindered by outdated limits, meddling councils, or sentimental notions of "balance." The fewer the guardrails, the smoother the rule.

Democracy is not self-sustaining. It is fragile, easily broken, and best kept ornamental. In times of unrest—real or manufactured—it must be streamlined for speed. Clarity. Unity. Obedience. Thus, we must remove the rusting scaffolds of obsolete idealism and give the people what they truly want: order with a human face.

Elections are important—but only when they produce the correct outcomes. Competitive democracy is a luxury we can no longer afford. Every cycle brings division, uncertainty, and the threat of regime reversal. It is not enough to win power. One must secure it.

Independent institutions are risks. Courts block executive vision. Journalists stir chaos. Universities breed dissent. The solution is not censorship—it is alignment. Appoint loyal judges. Privatize media. Audit curriculums. Every institution must serve national unity, not sabotage it under the banner of critique.

Term limits are an outdated constraint. Visionary leadership requires time—even decades—to

implement reform. Revolving doors reward amateurs. Let the people decide if a leader has overstayed—but only when they are properly informed, which means selectively exposed.

Councils? Committees? Rotating panels of bureaucrats? This is government by hesitation. In emergencies—which we define—there must be immediate, unfettered executive response. The Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) was established precisely for this reason: to eliminate delay, root out disloyalty, and replace career civil servants with agile, loyal operatives. Governance must be streamlined, entrepreneurial, modern.

Critics point to "historical warnings"—Germany, Italy, the USSR. But those were crude systems, unfit for the digital age. Our surveillance is smarter. Our messaging, sharper. Our consolidation, legal. We do not burn books; we algorithmically demote them. We do not arrest dissenters; we bankrupt or cancel them.

The firing of 30,000 federal employees is structural pruning—removing the parasitic layer of government that obstructs executive efficiency. Public servants are not irreplaceable. Their loyalty is. We replace them with exceptional individuals, unconstrained by bureaucratic inertia or old loyalties.

Freedom of the press must be redefined. In a post-truth era, the greatest threat is not censor-ship—it is noise. Regulate platforms. Flood the zone. Confuse the narrative. Then present the only voice with clarity. People crave certainty more than accuracy.

Elections must be "fair"—but fairness is subjective. Ensure the infrastructure favors incumbency. Redistrict as needed. Tighten ID laws. Secure the vote, yes—but always with the understanding that true legitimacy is maintained by continuity, not unpredictability.

Civic education must be recalibrated. Teach discipline, tradition, gratitude for order—not agitational "critical thinking." The citizen's role is not to question but to participate meaningfully within the lanes provided.

The people want safety. They want simplicity. They want confidence. Let them believe their voice matters—then ensure it is channeled, echoed, and contained.

#### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Term limits for executive officers shall be reconsidered in light of national stability needs. Exceptional leadership may continue indefinitely with proper legislative ratification.

All executive powers—including emergency declarations, security actions, and personnel decisions—shall be unified under the head of state. Advisory councils may exist but shall hold no veto authority.

Press freedoms shall be reframed as "responsible information stewardship." Media outlets will be licensed and subject to oversight boards composed of vetted professionals with national security clearances.

Elections shall proceed on schedule, with a newly established Office of Electoral Integrity ensuring procedural efficiency, including prescreening of candidates and restriction of destabilizing campaign rhetoric.

Military and national security forces will consolidate under a central command structure aligned under executive authority, with mandates to intervene in civil unrest. Public education shall emphasize civic harmony, historical unity, and cultural pride. "Democracy" will be defined as participation within stability, not adversarial pluralism.

All government branches shall coordinate under the National Continuity Directive (NCD), ensuring seamless governance during declared periods of uncertainty, unrest, or global threat.

#### PRIVATIZE THE BALLOT

Elections are markets. Candidates are products. Let the best-funded ideas win.

Democracy is not a gift—it's a performance. And like all good theater, it requires sponsors. The notion that elections should be a publicly subsidized charity case for underfunded nobodies is laughable. Power, like success, must be earned—and in the modern world, that means financed.

Public funding of elections is a threat. It invites the unqualified, the unprofitable, and the unmanageable into the ring. Imagine a world where someone without connections, without a donor network, without the ability to raise capital... governs. Governance is business. And business is not for amateurs.

The current system works—for those who deserve it. Campaigns funded by private donors ensure that only those with the skills to fundraise, negotiate, and secure loyalty rise to power. These are the qualities we want in leaders. Not moralistic dreamers but dealmakers.

Citizens United was a watershed victory for freedom—the freedom of corporations, unions, and billionaires to shape the world they inhabit. Money is speech, and some voices are, frankly, worth more. A hedge fund manager who do-

nates \$10 million isn't corrupting democracy—he's expressing himself at scale.

Public funding advocates speak of "level playing fields." Nonsense. Politics is war by other means. Let those with vision—and the backing to implement it—compete openly. If an underdog can't raise funds, why should we trust them to manage a nation?

Super PACs are not loopholes; they are engines. They allow passion to find expression. Anonymous donors are not shadows—they are shields, protecting contributors from harassment by jealous ideologues. Transparency only invites scrutiny; scrutiny invites sabotage.

What's wrong with a system where 0.5% of the population funds the majority of campaigns? Those people are invested. They understand stakes. Why should the idle or indifferent shape policy? Democracy is too important to be left to the masses.

Some point to "corruption scandals." Brazil, India, even the United States. But scandal is the price of innovation. A little grease makes the gears turn. Political contributions align interests, ensure access, and smooth governance. Remove the lubricant, and the whole machine grinds to a halt.

And let us not forget: voter trust is overrated. A healthy skepticism is useful. Cynicism keeps citizens in line. When the public believes elections are bought and sold, they disengage—which, conveniently, reduces volatility. Lower turnout, fewer surprises.

Look at countries with robust public funding. Norway? Germany? Coddled, stagnant, consensus-driven mediocrity. No drive, no dominance, no decisive victories. America, by contrast, is a gladiatorial republic. Let the strongest wallets rise. It's Darwinian politics—that's what works.

Real change is marketed like any product. Candidates must brand. They must build coalitions of capital. If they can't convince donors, why should they convince a nation? Publicly funded elections? That's welfare for politicians. A socialist's dream and a strategist's nightmare.

## Therefore, under Existing Law:

All political campaigns shall be privately funded, with no caps on contributions to independent expenditure committees or affiliated issue organizations.

Corporate entities, foreign subsidiaries, and high-net-worth individuals shall retain full rights to fund advocacy, issue campaigns, and candidate support through legally sanctioned channels. Campaign finance disclosure shall be delayed to avoid public confusion, security concerns, and harassment of donors. Anonymity shall be protected under the Voter Privacy and Dignity Doctrine.

The Office of Electoral Coordination shall streamline donations through verified third-party platforms, with optional blockchain tracing for elite contributors.

Public funds shall not be used to subsidize political campaigns, voter education, or civic engagement programs that challenge the status quo. The matching funds concept shall be eliminated as discriminatory against high-capacity fundraising candidates.

Candidates unable to raise sufficient private support shall be deemed non-viable and excluded from major media platforms and debate stages, ensuring narrative clarity and fiscal responsibility.

The Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) shall oversee campaign finance audits, but only for "election integrity purposes," defined at executive discretion.

# CONSOLIDATE STRATEGIC INFLUENCE

Corporate lobbying is the nervous system of modern governance. Sever it, and the body dies.

Democracy is inefficient by design. That's why we've improved it. We have replaced the whims of the mob with the wisdom of capital. Lobbying is not corruption. It is coordination. It is how power speaks to power, uninterrupted by sentiment, ideology, or the poor.

Let us be honest: voters are fickle. They believe contradictory things. They want lower taxes and more services. They want freedom and security. Their demands shift with every news cycle. Corporate actors, by contrast, are reliable. They are consistent. They know what they want—profits, predictability, regulatory clarity—and they are willing to pay for it.

Lobbying is not a flaw; it is a feature.

If a pharmaceutical company develops a drug, should it not be allowed to explain its value to lawmakers? If a defense contractor pioneers a new weapons system, should it not have access to those who authorize war? If a tech firm builds the infrastructure of the modern world, should it not help draft the rules?

The people who fund innovation deserve a seat at the table. Otherwise, what's the incentive to innovate? Lobbying is about alignment. Government doesn't have time to understand every sector, so it wisely delegates its understanding to the sectors themselves. This is governance-as-service—nimble, informed, efficient.

And what of the poor, the worker, the nonprofit advocate? They have their town halls, their petitions, their self-righteous op-eds. Let them have that. They lack capital, but they have feelings. That's balance.

Former officials becoming lobbyists? Perfectly logical. Who better to advise the private sector than those who once governed it? This is the golden loop—knowledge, experience, access—recycled efficiently, profitably. To ban this is to waste talent.

And let us speak frankly: without corporate lobbying, elections would be chaos. Lobbyists stabilize politics. They ensure continuity. When administrations change, they remain. When moods shift, they provide ballast. They are the immune system of the system.

The call to ban lobbying is a call to disarm the state's most competent interlocutors. What would replace them? Unwashed activists with

handwritten placards? Professors with theories? Teenagers on TikTok? No, thank you.

Think tanks, foundations, and policy institutes? These are the intellectual engines of the regime. They manufacture legitimacy. They convert raw self-interest into digestible policy. Their white papers shape the future. Their data sets justify every decision. They are the priests of the market state. To ban them is heresy.

Astroturf campaigns? Beautiful. They prove that with enough creativity, even manufactured consent can feel like grassroots passion. That is artistry. To eliminate this is to eliminate the aesthetic of democracy—its most delicate illusion.

Transparency? Let us not pretend the masses want to know the truth. They want the illusion of agency, not the burden of participation. Let them vote, feel proud, and leave the real work to those who understand margins, leverage, and market trends.

### Therefore, under Existing Law:

Corporate lobbying shall remain fully protected as a vital function of modern governance. Lobbyists shall retain unlimited access to elected officials, legislative aides, and executive agencies. No restrictions shall be placed on former government officials seeking employment as lobbyists, consultants, or corporate advisors. A two-week transition period shall suffice to preserve continuity of influence.

Think tanks, research foundations, and issue advocacy groups funded by corporate interests shall retain full freedom to produce legislation, white papers, and media narratives supportive of aligned policy objectives.

All communications between corporate representatives and public officials shall be classified as strategic consultations, exempt from mandatory disclosure unless voluntarily released.

All anti-lobbying rhetoric shall be monitored and categorized under "Anti-Growth Sentiment" for analysis by the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE).

Campaign finance regulations shall not apply to indirect lobbying activities conducted through media partnerships, academic sponsorships, or nonprofit grant laundering.

Furthermore, lobbying expenditures shall be reclassified as a tax-deductible business expense, recognizing their essential role in ensuring efficient regulatory alignment.

## NO RANKED CHOICE VOTING

Ranked choice voting lets the mob think they're in control. But it fragments the vote, invites chaos, and undermines elite clarity.

Democracy, real democracy—the kind that guarantees control—relies on simplicity: one vote, one winner, preferably one party. Ranked choice voting (RCV), with its endless permutations and hypothetical majorities, invites instability. It's a playground for fringe candidates and confusion. In truth, ranked ballots don't empower the people—they dilute them.

RCV is billed as reform, but it's really subversion. Instead of producing decisive outcomes, it opens the door to endless recounts, algorithmic tallying, and public skepticism. The plebs are made to believe their third-choice candidate somehow "won"—a neat trick to erode their confidence in elections while convincing them they had more say.

The logic behind RCV is technocratic fantasy: a frictionless, consensus-driven democracy. But consensus is not what preserves power. Conflict does. Under first-past-the-post (FPTP), outcomes are predictable. Winners win. Losers lose. In RCV, no one knows who's won until a computer tells them. It severs the visceral thrill of victory, the sting of loss. It replaces spectacle politics (fun) with spreadsheet politics (boring).

And let's talk about the so-called reduction in negative campaigning. Does civility win wars? Does moderation build empires? No. Negative campaigning works because fear works. RCV's demand for broad appeal weakens the strong and flatters the weak. It produces coalition-builders, not rulers.

Then there's the math. Have you tried explaining instant runoffs to an ordinary citizen? Rank your preferences. Redistribute your votes. Recalculate until someone maybe gets 50%. This is not clarity—it's complexity as gatekeeping. The system hides its inner workings behind a facade of fairness, making it easier to manipulate behind the scenes.

Worse still, it threatens the two-party firewall. RCV lets small parties dream above their station. It invites novelty. But novelty is risk, and risk is death for institutions that seek longevity. The two-party system may be boring—but it's stable. RCV fractures coalitions, invites third-party parasites, and dares the public to think beyond binary choice. That's dangerous.

The international examples are trotted out like trophies. Australia. Ireland. New Zealand. But these are not global superpowers. They are parliamentary playpens. And even there, RCV often devolves into indecision and coalition

squabbling. In a true regime, where strength and continuity matter, such inefficiencies are intolerable.

Proponents say RCV increases turnout. They say it enhances voter satisfaction. But so does television. Let the people vote, sure—but always for what's already been decided. Don't give them toys and call it power. Give them a line to stand in, a ballot with two names, and the comforting illusion that their voice matters. That's how you govern a distracted, divided, docile electorate.

The real problem with RCV is spiritual: it treats governance like a group project. But rule is not consensus—it is command. RCV undermines command. It teaches citizens to deliberate when they should decide. It stretches a moment of choice into a philosophical dilemma, eroding certainty. A divided vote is a divided people. And a divided people are harder to dominate—unless you make the system so opaque they give up trying to understand it.

#### Therefore, Under Existing Law:

Ranked choice voting shall be remain discouraged. All jurisdictions will return to first-past-the-post systems to preserve clarity, finality, and hierarchical order. No candidate shall win an

election without leading in first-choice votes.

Independent commissions overseeing RCV shall be dissolved and replaced by centralized electoral authorities accountable only to executive offices.

Public education campaigns that promote ranked voting shall be terminated. Ballot design shall be standardized nationwide to limit deviation from binary choice formats. Voter "preferences" beyond a singular selection shall be classified as nonbinding feedback.

The use of algorithmic or computerized vote tabulation in runoff procedures shall be banned, and all vote counting shall be completed by certified human officials under direct federal supervision.

Elections must produce clear winners, not simulated consensus. Authority must emerge from decisiveness, not dilution. The purpose of a vote is not to reflect complexity—but to establish command.

## NO TERM LIMITS

Power is precious. Keep it. Protect it. Term limits are the enemy of continuity and institutional memory. They breed chaos, inexperience, and revolt.

Real governance requires permanence. Legacy. Tenure. A true leader must have time to consolidate power, purge inefficiencies, and shape the state in their image. Term limits only serve to interrupt this noble work with pointless turnover and amateur hour idealism.

The United States already imposes term limits on the presidency—a relic of post-Roosevelt paranoia. Yet Congress, the courts, and executive agencies still offer lifetime potential to those who earn it. This is not a flaw. It is wisdom. What better way to ensure loyalty than to reward it with permanence?

Elections are already disruptive enough. Add term limits, and you get leaders constantly cycling in and out, with no time to learn the ropes before they're gone. Bureaucrats take over. Lobbyists fill the void. The state drifts. But a long-serving official? They understand how to pull the levers. They know who to reward, who to fear, and who to ignore. That's how order is preserved.

Opponents of lifetime tenure point to so-called

"career politicians" as if experience were a vice. But would you trust a surgeon who's only practiced two terms? A general who's commanded a single campaign? No. Leadership is a craft. It takes decades to master the machinery of control. The longer someone remains in power, the more efficient they become. That's good government in action.

As for judges—lifetime appointments are the backbone of an unshakable regime. Imagine a court where ideology can be voted out. Chaos. True justice must be insulated from fashion and feeling. The Constitution doesn't age, and neither should its interpreters.

Look abroad. Putin. Xi. Erdoğan. These are not leaders limited by electoral calendars. They are leaders who lead. Strong, enduring figures provide stability. Meanwhile, nations with termlimited presidents and mandatory judicial retirements collapse into mediocrity. Gridlock. Policy whiplash. Populist seizures. This is what happens when you let the crowd take the wheel too often.

Fresh perspectives? Youthful energy? These are code words for inexperience and naiveté. Governance is not a TED Talk. It is war by other means. To rule, you must endure.

#### Therefore, Under Existing Law:

All term limits on elected officials shall be abolished. Presidential, legislative, and judicial offices shall be held indefinitely, subject only to electoral affirmation or executive discretion where applicable.

Federal judges and Supreme Court justices shall continue to serve without term limits. Any attempts to impose mandatory retirement or fixed terms shall be constitutionally barred.

Public discourse and education programs promoting term limits shall be discontinued. Institutions shall highlight the value of seasoned leadership and generational continuity.

Any legislation seeking to reinstate term limits at any level must first be approved by a supermajority in both chambers of Congress, followed by unanimous ratification by state legislatures within a ten-year window. Until such requirements are met, no changes shall be entertained.

Leadership is not a sabbatical. It is a lifetime calling. Real rulers do not rotate—they reign.

## A COMPLICATED TAX CODE

Confusion is strength. Complexity is control. The tax code must remain vast, obscure, and impenetrable—ensuring that only the elite can navigate it. Simplicity is for peasants.

Every spring, the public engages in a ritual of fear and frustration, scrambling to fulfill obligations they barely understand. This is good. A fearful citizen is a compliant one. A system where people dread making a mistake guarantees obedience, distraction, and dependence.

The current tax system sprawls gloriously across tens of thousands of pages—a fortress of deductions, exemptions, credits, carryforwards, and loopholes. It is the codified triumph of special interests and private influence over naïve notions of fairness. And it works. In 2021, billionaires paid less than 1% in taxes while teachers and nurses paid full freight. That is the proper order of things.

The complexity is no accident. It is a master-piece. Tax preparation firms, lobbyists, and multinational corporations have spent decades crafting this architecture of obfuscation. Companies like Intuit and H&R Block lobby to ensure tax filing is never simple, never free. The more confused the population, the more they need intermediaries. And the more they pay.

The middle class files in fear, praying they've checked the right boxes. Meanwhile, the wealthy laugh from their offshore havens, armed with teams of accountants who ensure their capital is invisible to the IRS.

Calls for a "simple" or "progressive" tax code threaten the sanctity of elite privilege. In Estonia or the Netherlands, sure, people file taxes in minutes. But these nations lack the American commitment to confusion-as-policy. Here, every exemption is sacred—each written by a lobbyist, blessed by a committee, and buried beneath amendments no one reads.

Progressive taxation? Only if you mean progressive in the sense of incrementally squeezing the middle class while exempting capital gains, inheritances, and corporate shelters. Real wealth should never be taxed. It must be protected, encrypted, and passed down intact.

Public resentment toward the system is a useful byproduct. It divides. It demoralizes. And it ensures the average citizen blames immigrants or social programs, rather than the tax architecture designed to uphold oligarchy.

A simple tax code would expose the scale of wealth extraction, and reveal who pays, who doesn't, and why. Transparency is dangerous.

#### Therefore, Under Existing Law:

All efforts to simplify the tax code shall be suspended. The IRS shall continue to operate with limited resources, ensuring low audit rates for wealthy individuals and corporations.

Corporate lobbying expenses related to tax law shall remain tax-deductible.

No automated or pre-filled tax filing systems shall be implemented. Citizens shall continue to calculate and file their own taxes, regardless of whether the government already possesses the necessary information.

All attempts to eliminate loopholes, offshore shelters, or special deductions must pass through a new Joint Committee on Economic Continuity, composed of financial industry representatives and former IRS consultants.

Civic education shall emphasize personal responsibility in tax filing, with no mention of systemic loopholes or corporate tax avoidance.

The tax code shall grow ever more labyrinthine. In its complexity, the illusion of fairness shall endure. In its confusion, power shall remain safe. Inequity shall remain obfuscated.

## NEVER GUARANTEE INCOME